۰.

# REMOVAL SITE EVALUATION OF MERCURY IN SOIL AND GROUNDWATER AT FORMER MERCURY FULMINATE FACILITY

#### **RICHMOND FIELD STATION**

# Richmond, California

May 21,1990

1

Submitted to:

<u>University of California</u> <u>Richmond Field Station</u> <u>Richmond, California</u>

Prepared by:

Jonas & Associates Inc. Environmental Consultants 1056 Dale Place Concord, California (415) 676-8554

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

				PAGE
LIST	OF TABLES			iv
LIST	OF FIGURES			v
LIST	OF APPENDIC	ES		vi
EXE	CUTIVE SUMM	ARY		vii
1.0	INTRODUCT	ION		1
	1.1 Site Loca	tion		4
	1.2Site Statu	IS		4
	1.3Chronolog	gy of Ever	nts	8.
2.0	INITIAL SITE	EVALUA	TION	11
	2.1 Site Desc	ription		11
	2.1.1	Property	History and Adjacent Land Use	11
		2.1.1.1 2.1.1.2	Property History Adjacent Land Use	11 11
	2.1.2	Environr	nental Setting	13
		2.1.2.1 2.1.2.2 2.1.2.3 2.1.2.4	Regional Geology Local Geology Surface Water Regional Hydrogeology and Groundwater Quality	13 13 14 14
			<ul> <li>2.1.2.4.1 Regional Hydrogeology</li> <li>2.1.2.4.2 Local Hydrogeology</li> <li>2.1.2.4.3 Groundwater Quality</li> <li>2.1.2.4.4 Surface Water Quality</li> <li>2.1.2.4.5 Water Uses</li> </ul>	14 14 16 18 18

-----

Jonas	& .	Assc	ociate	s Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

			PAGE
	2.2 Summary	v of the Previous Studies (1981 - 1988)	19
	2.2.1	Previous Investigations	19
3.0	CURRENT S JONAS & AS	OIL SAMPLING STUDY CONDUCTED BY	22
	3.1 Sampling	Methods and Procedures	22
	3.1.1	Sample Collection and Analysis 3.1.1.1 Task 1 - Soil Sample Collection from 0 to 3	22
		Feet Depth Range 3.1.1.2 Task 2 - Surface Soil Sample Collection 3.1.1.3 Task 3 - Collection of Soil Sample from the	22 24
		Groundwater Monitoring Well (MW-1) Borehole	24.
	3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	Decontamination and Post-Sampling Procedures Sample Documentation Sample Identification Numbers Field Logbooks Sample Labels	25 26 26 26 27
	3.1.7 3.1.8 3.1.9	Quality Control Samples Sample Shipment	27 28 28
	3.2 Discussio	on of Results of Soil Analyses	28
	3.2.1 3.2.2	Results of Analyses for Mercury in Soil Samples Results of Analyses for Sulfate and Nitrate	<b>2</b> 8
	323	in Soil Samples Results of Analyses for Copper and Zinc in Soil	38
		Samples	39
	3.2.4	Results of EP Toxicity and Waste Extraction (WET) Tests for Mercury in Soil Samples	r 39
4.0	GROUNDWA DEVELOPM	ATER MONITORING WELL DRILLING, CONSTRUCTION, ENT, AND SAMPLING	40
	4.1 Drilling I 4.2 Well Con 4.3 Well Dev	Methods struction elopment Collection Procedures and Analysis	40 44 45
	- 4.4 Sample (	JUNCTION FLOCTURES AND MILLINGS	40

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

# PAGE

.

	4.5 Results of Analyses for Mercury and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in Wa Sample	ter 46
5.0	THE CHEMISTRY, TOXICOLOGY, AND MIGRATION PATHWAYS OF MERCURY AND MERCURY FULMINATE	46
	5.1 Chemistry of Mercury and Mercury Fulminate	46
	5.1.1 Chemistry of Mercury	46
	5.1.2 Mercury Fulminate	46
	5.3Fate and Transport of Mercury	49
	5.3.1 Volatilization	49
	5.3.2 Sorption	49
	5.3.3 Biotransformation	49
	5.3 Toxicology of Mercury	50
6.0	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	51
	6.1 Summary of the Investigation	51
	6.2 Summary of Results	52
	6.3 Recommendations	52
REFE	ERENCES	54

# LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table 2-1	Borehole Stratigraphy	13
Table 2-2	Results of Existing Richmond Field Station (RFS) Well Sample Analyses (EAL Corporation, 1984)	16
Table 2-3	Results of ICI Americas Well Sample Analyses	17
Table 2-4	Results of Slough and Storm Drain Sample Analyses (EAL Corporation, 1984)	18
Table 2-5	Results of 1988 Soil Samples Collected From the Former Mercury Fulminate Facility (CH2M Hill, 1988)	22
Table 3-1	Surface Samples and Corresponding Borehole Locations (J & A, 1990)	25
Table 3-2	Mercury in 0 - 3 Feet of Soil, Richmond Field Station (J & A, 1989 - 1990)	29
Table 3-3	Mercury in Surface Soil, Richmond Field Station (J & A, 1990)	37
Table 3-4	Sulfate & Nitrate in 0 - 3 Feet of Soil, Richmond Field Station (J & A, 1990)	38
Table 3-5	Copper & Zinc in Surface Soil, Richmond Field Station (J & A, 1990)	39

# LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>P</u>	AGE
Figure 1-1	Regional Map	5
Figure 1-2	Site Map - General Area of Investigation	6
Figure 1-3	Current and Historic Land Use in Study Area	7
Figure 2-1	Proposed Facility	12
Figure 2-2	Previous Sampling Locations	20
Figure 2-3	Previous Soil Mercury Results	21
Figure 3-1	Location of Soil Samples and Monitoring Well (J & A, 1989 - 1990)	23
Figure 3-2	Sample Label	27
Figure 3-3	Mercury in Soil at the Study Area, 0 - 3 Feet (J & A, 1989 - 90)	30
Figure 3-4	Past and Present Structures and Soil Mercury Concentration	31
Figure 3-5	Elevated Mercury Levels in Soil, 0 - 3 Feet (J & A, 1989 - 90)	32
Figure 3-6	Elevated Mercury Levels in Surface Soil (J & A, 1989 - 90)	33
Figure 3-7	Sulfate & Nitrate in Soil, 0 - 3 Feet (J & A, 1989 - 90)	34
Figure 3-8	Copper in Surface Soil and at 0 - 3 Feet (J & A, 1989 - 90)	35
Figure 3-9	Zinc in Surface Soil and at 0 - 3 Feet (J & A, 1989 - 90)	36
Figure 4-1	MW-1 Construction Details	41
Figure 4-2	MW-1 Stratigraphy and Soil Analytical Results	42
Figure 4-3	MW-A Construction Details	43
Figure 4-4	MW-1, Probable Groundwater Flow, and Elevated Soil Mercury	47

٠.

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A J&A Soil Sampling Laboratory Results and Chain-of-Custody Forms

1

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The University of California (UC), Richmond Field Station (RFS) is located at 1301 South 46th Street in Richmond, California 94804. Beginning in the 1840s, several explosives manufacturing companies were operating in an area that is now the southeastern portion of RFS. At the beginning of the 1900s, the California Cap Company (CCC) bought the land and one of the explosive manufacturing operations from the Hercules Powder Company.

CCC ceased operations at the end of World War II in 1945. UC purchased the property in 1950. The property is currently owned and operated by UC. Several different facilities, including research laboratories and administrative offices are located on this property. Currently, RFS is considering an addition of a new building at their facility. Due to the proximity of this proposed building to a former explosives manufacturing facility where mercury fulminate was produced, RFS decided to initiate the current investigation of mercury. Earlier investigations had indicated the presence of mercury in soils near this facility (DHS, 1982; CH2M Hill, 1988). Jonas & Associates Inc. (J & A) was retained in December 1989, under order 0-200248-TR to conduct the current investigation. The mercury contamination investigation is being performed according to the "National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, February 2, 1990 (NCP)."

Based on NCP requirements, UC has initiated a phased approach for the investigation of mercury contamination. Phase I is the performance of a Removal Site Evaluation, which has now been completed and the results are presented in this report. Following the Removal Site Evaluation, consideration of the need for Removal Action will commence as Phase II of the investigation. If Phase II evaluation indicates that removal action is appropriate, an Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) or its equivalent will be performed. If collection of additional environmental samples becomes necessary, then a sampling and analysis plan will be prepared and submitted for regulatory review.

The scope of work for Phase I of the investigation was focused on the general area of the former mercury fulminate facility. The emphasis was placed on determining mercury concentration in soil. However, during the investigation a preliminary evaluation of nitrate, sulfate, copper, and zinc in soil samples was also conducted. In addition, an evaluation of mercury in groundwater was initiated by installation of one groundwater monitoring well in the study area. Groundwater from this well was analyzed for mercury. Mercury was not detected above the instrument detection limit of 0.001 mg/l in the groundwater sample.

RFS was included on the Department of Health Services (DHS) list of abandoned hazardous waste sites in 1981 because of CCC's explosive manufacturing activities. DHS began an investigation of the RFS property in this same year, at which time samples from five locations were collected and analyzed for mercury. Mercury was detected in two of these samples.

In 1982, DHS collected 17 additional soil samples from the RFS facility and analyzed the samples for a large suite of inorganic constituents. Mercury was not detected in any of the samples collected by DHS in 1982. Two of these samples were from the same locations as the earlier DHS samples collected in 1981. In the 1982 sampling effort, none of the inorganic constituents in soil samples exceeded the Total Threshold Limit Concentrations (TTLC) set by DHS to identify a material as hazardous. DHS concluded that no mercury remained on the RFS property and recommended that RFS should be removed from the list of abandoned hazardous waste sites.

In 1988, CH2M Hill collected additional soil samples at RFS. The purpose of this investigation was to follow-up on previous sampling activities and to supplement and/or clarify analytical results.

During this investigation three soil samples were collected in the general area of the former mercury fulminate facility. All soil samples were analyzed for metals, pesticides and PCBs. Copper and zinc in soil samples were detected below TTLC levels. Mercury in soils exceeded TTLC levels. Pesticides and PCBs were not detected in soil samples collected.

The Phase I investigation, which is the subject of this report, included collection of 147.. discrete soil samples (0 - 3 feet) collected from 47 boreholes; analysis of 49 composite soil samples for mercury; analysis of 4 composite soil samples for sulfate, nitrate, copper and zinc; and collection and analysis of 10 surface soil samples (0 - 3 inches) for mercury. Two soil samples were also analyzed for mercury using EP Toxicity Extraction and Waste Extraction (WET) tests. In addition, one groundwater monitoring well was installed and groundwater was sampled and analyzed for mercury. A total of 4 additional composite soil samples were collected from the monitoring well borehole and analyzed for mercury.

A summary of the findings for Phase I indicates that mercury is present in the soil above the TTLC level. No mercury was detected in the groundwater sample analyzed. Copper and zinc were present in the soil below TTLC levels, and sulfate and nitrate were detected in soil in low concentrations. Mercury was detected above the Soluble – Threshold Concentration Level (STLC: 0.2 mg/l) using the Waste Extraction (WET) test. Mercury was not detected above the method detection limit of 0.02 mg/l in the soil sample that was analyzed using the EP Toxicity extraction method.

It is recommended that the following tasks be incorporated into Phase II of this investigation which is intended to serve as a preliminary Public Health and Environmental Evaluation (PHEE).

- Perform a preliminary Public Health and Environmental Evaluation (PHEE);
- Conduct field investigations as necessary to collect any additional data needed for the PHEE;
- Continue groundwater sampling and analysis; and

• Using information developed by the PHEE provide a quantitative basis for selection of an appropriate removal action.

However, the exact scope of work for the Phase II of the investigation will be finalized after UC's meeting(s) with DHS and other regulatory agencies review of this report

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The University of California at Berkeley (UC) has initiated a focused investigation of potential mercury contamination at the former mercury fulminate facility located within the Richmond Field Station (RFS), Richmond, California. Earlier investigations (summarized in Section 1.3) indicated the presence of mercury in soils within the study area. Jonas & Associates Inc. (J & A) was retained in December 1989, under order 0-200248-TR, to initiate an Investigation of mercury contamination at the former mercury fulminate facility. The mercury contamination investigation is being performed according to the "National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, February 2, 1990 (NCP)." Based on NCP requirements, UC has initiated a phased approach for the investigation of mercury contamination. These phases are as follow:

Phase I - Performance of a Removal Site Evaluation<sup>1</sup> which includes:

• Performance of a removal preliminary assessment

A removal preliminary assessment may include collection and review of data such as site management practices, information from generators, photographs, analysis of historical photographs, literature searches, and personal interviews conducted as appropriate.

• Performance of a removal site inspection

A removal site inspection may be performed if more information is need. Such inspection may include a perimeter (off-site) or on-site inspection, taking into consideration whether such inspection can be performed safely.

Phase I has now been completed and the results are presented in this report.

Phase II - Following the completion of the removal site evaluation, consideration of the need for removal action will commence. Under the NCP, the following factors are to be considered by the lead agency in determining the appropriateness of a removal action:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As described in greater detail in this report, the analysis is approached as a "removal" action for NCP purposes due to the following factors: (1) the mercury contaminated soil appears to be localized and the mercury does not appear to be migrating, (2) no groundwater contamination has been detected, (3) all of the likely response actions are included in the listing of removal actions set forth in the NCP, 40 C.F.R. S 300.415 (d), (4) any response actions in the areas investigated would likely be independent from other potential response actions at the site, and (5) given the anticipated volume of the contaminated soil, no presently anticipated cleanup option would approach or exceed the \$2 million threshold for removal actions.

- Actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants;
- Actual or potential contamination of drinking water supplies or sensitive ecosystems;
- High levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, that may migrate;
- Weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants to migrate or be released;
- Threat of fire or explosion;
- The availability of other appropriate federal or state response mechanisms to respond to the release; and
- Other situations or factors that may pose threats to public health or welfare or the environment.

The Phase II (PHEE) study will evaluate the available data in relation to these factors.

Phase III - If the Phase II evaluation indicates that removal action is appropriate, an Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) or its equivalent will be performed. If collection of additional environmental samples becomes necessary, then a sampling and analysis plan will be prepared and submitted for regulatory review.

Under the NCP, possible Removal Actions may include:

- Fences, warning signs, or other security or site control precautions -- where humans or animals have access to the release;
- Drainage controls;
- Stabilization of berms and dikes -- where needed to maintain the integrity of the structure;
- Capping of contaminated soils or sludges -- where needed to reduce migration of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants into soil, ground or surface water, or air;
- Using chemicals and other materials to retard the spread of the release or to mitigate its effects -- where the use of such chemicals will reduce the spread of the release;
- Excavation, consolidation, or removal of highly contaminated soils from drainage or other areas -- where such actions will reduce the spread of, or direct contact with, the contamination; and

۰.

• Containment, treatment, disposal, or incineration of hazardous materials -where needed to reduce the likelihood of human, animal, or food chain exposure.

This report presents the findings of Phase I of the investigation and describes the site and the site's current status, defines the objectives of the investigation, provides a chronology of events, includes a general information on the geology and hydrology of the site and the region, presents the results of the recent soil and groundwater sampling investigation and provides a general discussion of the chemistry, toxicology, and migration pathways of mercury. A preliminary public health and environmental evaluation, proposed as part of the Phase II of the investigation, would present an indepth analysis of the potential risks associated with exposure to mercury. If the Phase II evaluation indicates that removal action is appropriate, a Phase III EE/CA will be undertaken.

This report, <u>Removal Site Evaluation of Mercury in Soil and Groundwater at Former</u> <u>Mercury Fulminate Facility, Richmond Field Station</u>, is organized into six major sections:

- Section 1: Introduction
- Section 2: Initial Site Evaluation
- Section 3: Current Soil Sampling Study Conducted by Jonas & Associates Inc.
- Section 4: Groundwater Monitoring Well Drilling, Construction, Development, Sampling and Analysis
- Section 5: The Chemistry, Toxicology, and Migration Pathways of Mercury and Mercury Fulminate
- Section 6: Summary and Recommendations

Section 1 describes the site and the site's current status, defines the objectives of the investigation, and provides a chronology of the events occurring in the general area of the former mercury fulminate facility.

Section 2 provides a site description, describes the geology and hydrology of the site and region, and presents a summary of previous investigations performed by various consultants and DHS.

Section 3 presents the results of the recent soil sampling investigation conducted by J & A.

Section 4 includes details on groundwater monitoring well drilling, construction, development, and groundwater sampling and analysis.

Section 5 is a general discussion of the chemistry, toxicology, and migration pathways of mercury and mercury fulminate.

Section 6 provides a summary of activities and presents results and conclusions.

The references section cites documents consulted during the preparation of this report.

#### 1.1 SITE LOCATION

RFS is located at 1301 South 46th Street in Richmond, California 94804. Figure 1-1 is a general map of the RFS property and adjacent areas. As identified in the figure, the 150-acre property is located between Highway 580 and the Richmond Inner Harbor. Figure 1-2 is a site map of buildings and roads in the southern section of RFS, where the former mercury fulminate facility was also located. Figure 1-3 is a composite of current building locations, (shown in black in the figure) and former structures, (shown as a hatched line in the figure). The former mercury fulminate area appears as a circle. The approximate locations of the former buildings were determined from aerial photographs provided by RFS.

# 1.2 <u>SITE STATUS</u>

The mercury fulminate facility was owned and operated by the California Cap Company during the 1920s. In 1981, RFS was included on the DHS list of abandoned hazardous waste sites because of the California Cap Company's explosives manufacturing activities. In addition, the Department of Fish and Game (DFG), San Pablo Sanitary District, and California Occupational Safety and Health Association (Cal OSHA) are aware that mercury may be present on the property.

To evaluate whether mercury was present at the site, DHS began an investigation of the RFS property in the general area of the mercury fulminate facility in 1981, at which time samples from five locations were collected and analyzed for mercury. Mercury was detected in two of these samples. In 1982, DHS collected 17 additional soil samples from the RFS facility and analyzed the samples for a large suite of inorganic constituents. Mercury was not detected in any of the samples collected by DHS in 1982. Two of these samples were from the same locations as the earlier DHS samples collected in 1981. In the 1982 sampling effort, none of the inorganic constituents in soil samples exceeded the Total Threshold Limit Concentrations (TTLC) set by DHS to identify a material as hazardous.

DHS concluded that no mercury remained on the RFS property and recommended that RFS should be removed from the list of abandoned hazardous waste sites. However, DHS continues to consider the facility as a "medium" priority until sampling results in areas previously tested can be verified.



FIGURE 1-1

REGIONAL MAP





Currently, RFS is not under any regulatory order from state or federal agencies to conduct any additional investigations of on-site mercury. However, because RFS is considering an addition of a new building near the former mercury fulminate facility, investigation of mercury contamination was initiated.

## 1.3 CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS

This section summarizes the history of pertinent activities occurring in the general area of the mercury fulminate facility from 1840 to 1990, including any related agency actions:

1840	Several explosives manufacturing companies were operating in the southern portion of current RFS property.
1900s	The California Cap Company bought a portion of the RFS property from the Hercules Powder Company.
1920	By 1920, California Cap Company had purchased all of the other explosives companies in the area, thereby becoming the only explosives manufacturer on the property.
1945	The California Cap Company ceased operations at the end of World War II.
1950	UC purchased the property. Under the terms of the sale, the California Cap Company was required to remove all hazardous material before UC would accept title. The company reportedly complied with this requirement, but the exact activities performed by California Cap Company are currently not known.
1981	In 1981, RFS was included on the DHS list of abandoned hazardous waste sites list because of the California Cap Company's explosives manufacturing activities. DFG, the San Pablo Sanitary District, and Cal OSHA agreed that fulminate of mercury might be present on the property, thereby presenting a risk of explosion and soil contamination. DHS began investigation of the RFS property in 1981.
<b>2</b> /18/81	In 1981, soil samples were collected from five locations by DHS.

2/18/81 In 1981, soil samples were collected from live locations by DHS. However, sampling and analytical methodologies performed are not known. Available data showed that mercury was found in two of these samples:

۰.

Sample 2, near the former Shell Manufacturing Area (see Figure 1-3), contained 105 parts per million (ppm) of mercury, and Sample 6, taken from the marsh, contained 23 ppm of mercury. A DHS report (DHS, 1982) indicated that arsenic, copper, zinc, and lead were also present in the RFS soil samples. However, the report did not identify concentrations.

6/24/82 In 1982, DHS collected 17 soil samples from RFS and analyzed them for 29 inorganic constituents. Mercury was not detected in any of the samples. None of the other inorganic constituents analyzed for exceeded TTLCs set by DHS to identify a material as hazardous. At least two samples were analyzed for DDT; none was detected. DHS concluded that no mercury remained on the RFS property and recommended that RFS be removed from the list of abandoned hazardous waste sites.

- 03/10/83 The Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) wrote to UC stating that RWQCB had received copies of the DHS sampling results and considered the elevated levels of various metals (excluding mercury) to pose a threat to water quality.
- 02/23/84 RWQCB requested that UC submit a proposal for further study of potential water quality effects from on-site soil contamination.
- 03/23/84 UC retained EAL Corporation (EAL) to analyze groundwater from two existing wells, and surface water, collected from the slough and marsh areas. The wells had been drilled previously for a research project and were completed approximately 90 feet below the ground surface.
- 04/05/84 EAL collected water samples in slough and marsh areas and sampled the two existing wells.
- 05/07/84 Report from EAL summarizing the April 5, 1984 sampling results.
- 07/05/84 RWQCB wrote a letter to UC requesting a water quality plan.
- 09/18/84 UC wrote a letter to RWQCB stating that, based on the previous sampling result and on surface runoff and infiltration information, RFS was not adversely affecting groundwater.
- 11/06/87 DHS requested copies of the existing sampling data.
- 11/17/87 UC sent requested data to DHS and asked that the site be removed from any hazardous site list.
- 1987 In 1987, DHS conducted another investigation of the facility, under U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) funding. The report concluded that no further EPA action or site investigation was necessary. DHS is continuing to consider the facility as a "medium" priority,

until sampling results in areas previously tested can be verified. DHS has indicated concern about the adequacy and quality assurance/quality control of its own sampling and analysis efforts, particularly the analytical results for soils sampled in 1982.

03/07/88 Aqua Science Engineers installed a groundwater monitoring well at RFS. Groundwater samples were collected to test for the possible presence of non-halogenated hydrocarbons that may have migrated from an existing underground fuel storage tank located outside of the study area.

- 06/03/88 Aqua Science Engineers submitted a report summarizing their results. Chemical analyses indicated that non-halogenated hydrocarbon concentrations in the groundwater ranged from below instrument detection levels for benzene, ethylbenzene, and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), to 0.6 parts per billion (ppb) for xylene and 6.6 ppm for toluene.
- 10/18/88 CH2M Hill conducted additional sampling at RFS. The investigation was
   11/02/88
   not required by DHS or RWQCB, but was initiated by UC to follow-up on
   previous sampling activities and to supplement and/or clarify analytical
   results.

During this investigation six soil sampling areas were identified and sampled throughout the RFS facility. Three of these sites were in the area occupied by the former mercury fulminate facility buildings. All samples collected were analyzed for metals. In addition, five samples (including the three samples from the former facility building sites) were analyzed for pesticides and PCBs. Levels of mercury above the TTLC were detected in the area of the former mercury fulminate facility. In addition to mercury, copper and zinc were found in these soils, but at concentrations below the TTLCs for these metals. Pesticides and PCBs were not detected in any of the soil samples analyzed.

12/89 to J & A initiated a Phase I soil and groundwater sampling investigation in
3/90 the general area of the former mercury fulminate facility as Phase I of the mercury investigation.

## 2.0 INITIAL SITE EVALUATION

The following section concerns the previous work performed in the former mercury fulminate facility and Shell Manufacturing Area (see Figure 1-3).

#### 2.1 <u>SITE DESCRIPTION</u>

2.1.1 Property History and Adjacent Land Use

#### 2.1.1.1 Property History

Beginning in the 1840s, several explosives manufacturing companies were operating in an area that is now the southeastern portion of RFS. At the beginning of the 1900s, the California Cap Company bought land and an explosive manufacturing operation from the Hercules Powder Company. By 1920, the California Cap Company had purchased all of the other explosives manufacturing companies in the area, thereby becoming the only explosives manufacturer on the property.

The California Cap Company ceased operations at the end of World War II in 1945. UC purchased the property in 1950. Under the terms of the sale, the California Cap ... Company was required to remove all hazardous materials before UC would accept the title. It is currently unknown if any corrective actions took place at that time.

RFS is currently owned and operated by UC. Throughout the years, several different buildings, including research laboratories and administrative offices, have been constructed on the property. Currently, RFS is considering an addition of a new building on the property. Figure 2-1 presents a possible future location for this proposed building. Due to the proximity of this proposed building to the former mercury fulminate facility, RFS decided to initiate an investigation of mercury at the former mercury fulminate facility.

# 2.1.1.2 Adjacent Land Use

Current land uses adjacent to RFS are primarily industrial. A Safeway Distribution Center lies to the north, the Price Club and Bio Rad Labs are located to the east, and ICI Americas (ICI) lies to the west of RFS. Prior to 1987, the ICI Americas property was owned by Stauffer Chemical Company. The Richmond Inner Harbor lies to the south of the facility, along the shoreline of the City of Richmond.

Under regulatory direction from RWQCB, ICI completed a preliminary investigation of its property in December 1987. Under a Remedial Action Program designed and implemented by ICI, shallow groundwater onsite, found to be contaminated with pesticides, is currently being extracted and treated. The RWQCB has requested that ICI Americas perform a hydrogeologic investigation of the closed cinder landfill and associated ponds also formerly operated by Stauffer.

Recently the Richmond Inner Harbor has come under the scrutiny of EPA, DHS and the RWQCB, due to elevated concentrations of contaminants found in the water and biota of the harbor.



۰.

#### 2.1.2 Environmental Setting

# 2.1.2.1 Regional Geology

RFS is located at the distal end of an alluvial plain that slopes in a southwesterly direction. To the northeast, towards the Berkeley Hills, the alluvial plain is transected by the Hayward Fault Zone (Jennings & Burnett, 1961). San Pablo Bay lies to the south of RFS.

The alluvial plain, upon which RFS is situated, is Quaternary in age representing relatively recent deposits. The lithology of the alluvial plain consists primarily of consolidated to unconsolidated clays, silts, sands, and gravels, and bordering Pablo Bay, organic-rich clays and silts. The total thickness of all these deposits ranges from surface deposits, where the alluvium thins against the Berkeley Hills, to approximately 300 feet in depth. These deposits are underlain by bedrock of the Mesozoic Franciscan Formation. The Franciscan Formation is a complex assemblage of serpentinite, greenstone, graywacke, chert, shale, sandstone, and schist, and is found on many ridges and mountains of the San Francisco Bay Region.

### 2.1.2.2 Local Geology

Subsurface geology of the upper 100 feet in the area of RFS has been characterized by two boring logs, drilled for wells installed on RFS property (EAL, 1984). Both of these logs indicate that approximately 8 feet of clay directly underlie RFS. Interbeds of poorly sorted gravels, sand, and clay, extending to a depth of approximately 40 feet below ground surface lie beneath the clay layer. Clay to gravelly clay occurs from approximately 40 to 90 feet below ground surface. Sandy gravel was encountered from approximately 90 feet to the bottom of the borings at approximately 102 feet below ground surface. These lithologic descriptions are summarized in Table 2-1.

#### Table 2-1

#### Borehole Stratigraphy

Depth Below Surface	Lithology
(feet)	
0 - 8	Silty clay
8 - 40	Interbeds of poorly sorted gravels,
	sands, silts and clays
40 - 90	Clay to sandy gravelly clay
90 - 102	Sandy gravel

Four borings were drilled to a depth of 60 feet below ground surface, adjacent to the eastern boundary of RFS, on the ICI Americas property (ICI Americas, 1988). The logs from these borings were not available, but the local subsurface conditions were described as predominantly fine-grained sands, silts, and clays with minor amounts of gravel, found in poorly graded deposits of sand, silts, and clays (Hall, 1988). Discrete lithologies identified in these boreholes did not appear to be laterally or vertically extensive within the upper 60 feet.

# 2.1.2.3 Surface Water

RFS is located adjacent to the Richmond Inner Harbor of San Pablo Bay. San Pablo Bay (in the northern portion of San Francisco Bay) forms the major surface water body to the south of the facility. Marshes border San Pablo Bay. Marshes at the southern extent of the facility drain south into San Pablo Bay through a single opening in a raised Santa Fe Railroad causeway.

No streams are present on the RFS property. Surface water runoff from the facility is collected and discharged through two storm drains, located at the southern extent of the property. These drains discharge into San Pablo Bay. To the west of RFS a tidal slough collects water from urban storm drainage throughout the City of Richmond. This slough is used by local industrial dischargers and is hydraulically connected with the marsh at the border of San Pablo Bay.

# 2.1.2.4 Regional Hydrogeology and Groundwater Quality

# 2.1.2.4.1 Regional Hydrogeology

RFS lies within the Alameda Bay Plain (ABP) Groundwater Basin (DWR, 1980). This groundwater basin extends southward from Richmond to Alvarado, between the Berkeley Hills and San Francisco Bay. The basin's hydrogeologic conditions are not well characterized because groundwater is currently not being used by local municipalities or for agricultural irrigation, due to its low permeability and the limited thickness of transmissive units.

Most groundwater recharge to the ABP groundwater basin in the Richmond area is probably from drainage through the beds of the Wildcat and San Pablo Creeks. Recharge from the infiltration of rainfall is believed to be limited due to the low permeability shallow clays and by the large amount of paved areas overlying the basin (Caltrans, 1978). Shallow groundwater probably eventually discharges into San Francisco Bay.

# 2.1.2.4.2 Local Hydrogeology

Local hydrogeology at the site is characterized from data obtained from monitoring wells installed on RFS property and the adjacent ICI Americas property (ICI Americas, 1988). Two groundwater monitoring wells are present at RFS. These wells are identified as MW-A and MW-1. MW-A is located approximately in the center of the RFS.

Monitoring well MW-1 is located behind Building 105, in the area of the former mercury fulminate facility (see Figure 1-2).

During the drilling of monitoring wells MW-A and MW-1, groundwater was first encountered at depths of 9.0 to 7.5 feet below ground surface, respectively. In the borehole for monitoring well MW-1, below a thick layer of clay, the first water-bearing unit composed of sand was located at a depth of 8 to 13 feet. This water-bearing unit was then screened. After monitoring well MW-1 was installed and allowed to equilibrate, the water level rose to 4.25 feet below the surface: indicating confined conditions. Because a thick clay layer exists below the surface, local groundwater probably occurs under confined to semiconfined conditions. Boring logs for MW-A and MW-1 are shown as Figures 4-2 and 4-3 in Section 4.0 of this report.

Geologic logs from boreholes drilled on RFS indicate that a second transmissive waterbearing unit of sandy gravel exists below a depth of 90 feet from the surface.

Groundwater in the vicinity of RFS is considered to flow from north-northeast to south-southwest, toward the Richmond Inner Harbor (Hall, 1988). Locally, a hydraulic cone of depression has been created by the groundwater extraction system currently operating at the adjacent ICI Americas facility. The center of this cone is located approximately 1,000 feet southeast of RFS border. Hall (1988) asserts that the actual cone of depression for the extraction system does not extend to RFS.

Several aquifer tests have been previously performed on RFS property. These tests were performed by the UC Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory in 1954. A 3.5 foot gravelly aquifer was located at a depth of 90 feet below the surface. The average transmissivity was calculated from several tests to be 5,775 gal/day/ft. Dividing the calculated transmissivity by the measured thickness of the aquifer (3.5 feet), the estimated hydraulic conductivity, at 90 foot below the surface, is 1,760 gal/day/ft-squared (235 ft/day). These values indicate the gravelly aquifer located at a depth of 90 feet below the surface is a fairly transmissive zone. However, the shallower waterbearing zones are probably significantly less transmissive due to a greater presence of silts and clays in the aquifer material. Currently, no aquifer tests have been performed in the shallow water-bearing zone (8 to 13 feet below the surface) at RFS.

At the ICI Americas property, located adjacent to RFS, slug tests were conducted in the monitoring wells (Hall, 1988). These slug tests provided a rough estimate of hydraulic conductivity in shallow permeable zones of the upper 60 feet under the ICI Americas facility. The well completion details were not available for review. The calculated hydraulic conductivity values ranged between 0.4 to 17 gal/day/ft (0.05 to 2.3 ft/day). These values are well within the expected range for fine sand, silt, and clay (Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

The horizontal hydraulic gradient of the shallow groundwater at the ICI America property has been calculated to be approximately 0.004 (Hall, 1988). This was based upon a potentiometric surface map prepared from water level measurements from monitoring wells less than 60 feet deep. Using an estimated effective porosity of 0.25 and the hydraulic gradient of 0.004, shallow groundwater velocity is calculated to range between 0.3 to 13 feet/year.

The tidal effects on the hydraulics of the water-bearing units have not been evaluated.

## 2.1.2.4.3 Groundwater Quality

On February 24, 1990 a groundwater monitoring well, identified as MW-1, was installed by J & A downgradient from the former mercury fulminate facility. This well was installed to determine if mercury was present in the first water-bearing zone. After purging of the well, a groundwater sample was collected and analyzed for mercury and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). No mercury was detected in the groundwater at the former mercury fulminate facility. The level of TDS reached 1,300 ppm.

In 1984, EAL analyzed groundwater samples from two existing wells and analyzed four surface water samples collected in the slough and marsh areas. The two existing wells had been drilled previously for a research project and were completed approximately 90 feet below the ground surface. These two wells are designated as wells 167 and 175. The results, presented in Table 2-2, indicate that the groundwater in the water bearing zone at 90 feet below surface met EPA Safe Drinking Water Act standards.

#### TABLE 2-2

# Results of Existing Richmond Field Station Well Sample Analyses (EAL Corporation, 1984)

	Sample 5	Sample 6	Safe Drinking Water Act
	Well 167	Well 175	Maximum Contaminant Level
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Mercury	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	0.002
Copper	0.01	< 0.006	1.0ª
Zinc	0.083	0.067	5.0ª
Iron	0.04	< 0.02	0.3ª
Lead	0.03	0.04	0.05

a. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (aesthetic rather than healthbased standard)

Groundwater was also sampled at the ICI Americas site. Groundwater quality samples collected at the ICI Americas facility and presented in Table 2-3 indicate significant metal contamination. The ICI Americas facility and property is reported to be associated with the use of the following materials: fuels, pyrite cinders, sulfuric acid, ferric sulfate, pesticides, solvents, and alum (Hall, 1988). In November 1987, two rounds of samples were collected from wells completed less than 60 feet below ground

surface. The results, presented in Table 2-3, indicate that most of the metal analytes in groundwater sampled exceeded EPA Safe Drinking Water Act standards.

#### TABLE 2-3

# Results of ICI Americas Well Sample Analyses (ICI Americas, 1987)

	Range in	Safe Drinking Water Act	
Constituent	Concentration	Water Standard	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Copper	<0.02 - 38	1.00ª	
Zinc	0.06 - 75	5.00ª	
Arsenic	0.005 - 0.088	0.05	
Iron	0.07 - 840	0.30ª	
Cadmium	<0.02 - 0.15	0.01	
Lead	all < $0.06$	0.05	
Aluminum	<0.3 - 44		

a. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (aesthetic rather than healthbased standard)

Limited water quality data for local groundwater encountered in borings less than 50feet deep also exists in several Caltrans reports (1978 and 1979). Shallow groundwater from Caltrans borings taken in the vicinity of RFS was found to contain TDS ranging from 1,300 to 29,405 milligrams per liter (mg/l). In a more recent Caltrans investigation (1987), groundwater samples were collected from a series of 17 shallow wells to monitor the effects of a local freeway dewatering system. These wells were located to the north of RFS and further away from the influence of San Pablo Bay. With the exception of one well located near RFS which had TDS at greater than 1,000 mg/l, all other water samples contained TDS levels below 600 mg/l. In addition, the TDS concentrations in the deep wells that were constructed (to 50 feet) were generally less than the TDS concentrations in shallow wells (to 25 feet) which suggests that the shallower permeable zones are more prone to salt water intrusion from the Bay.

The RWQCB broadly defines a groundwater to have a beneficial use as a drinking water source when: 1) the groundwater is less than or equal to 3,000 ppm TDS; and 2) the aquifer produces a sufficient amount of water (approximately 150 gallons per day).

The groundwater sample collected from MW-1, in the area of the former mercury fulminate facility, showed no evidence of mercury contamination (detection limit of

• •

0.001 mg/l). An additional analysis of a groundwater sample from monitoring well MW-1 (screened from 8 to 13 foot below surface), measured TDS at 1,300 mg/l.

#### 2.1.2.4.4 Surface Water Quality

As discussed earlier, surface water drainage from the facility is discharged into storm drains. These storm drains are eventually discharged into San Pablo Bay. Results of a previous analysis of samples from the east and west storm drains and from the sloughs are presented in Table 2-4.

# TABLE 2-4

# Results of Slough and Storm Drain Sample Analyses (EAL Corporation, 1984)

	Sloughs (mg/L)		RFS Storm Drains (mg/L)		Surface Water Quality Criteria <sup>a</sup>	
	Sample 3	North	Sample East	4 Sample 2 West	(mg/L)	
	South	North	Dast	West		
Mercury	<0.0005	0.0005	0.001	< 0.0005	0.0002	
Copper	0.11	0.086	0.024	0.01	0.003	
Zinc	0.072	1.1	0.56	0.76	0.095	
Iron	2.4	0.55.	0.11	0.54		
Lead	0.24	0.14	0.02	0.057	0.140	

a. EPA National Ambient Water Quality Criteria to Protect Saltwater Aquatic Life, 1-hour average.

The results of the 1984 sampling by EAL indicate that with the exception of mercury, the concentrations of metals were higher in water from the sloughs than from the water in the storm drains that service RFS.

#### 2.1.2.4.5 Water Uses

In the area of South Richmond, Caltrans (1978) conducted a door-to-door survey for wells. The results of this survey identified over 100 wells in the general area of RFS. All of these wells are upgradient from RFS. Given the absence of historic agricultural or residential uses downgradient or proximate to RFS, it is not likely that unknown wells are present.

# 2.2 SUMMARY OF THE PREVIOUS SOIL STUDIES (1981 - 1988)

#### 2.2.1 Previous Investigations

DHS conducted two rounds of sampling at RFS between 1981 and 1982. Sampling locations are presented on Figures 2-2 and 2-3.

In 1981, DHS collected soil samples from five locations. The results from the analyses of these samples identified mercury at two locations: Sample 2, near the former Shell Manufacturing Area, and Sample 6 collected in the marsh adjacent to San Pablo Bay. Results from analyzing Samples 2 and 6 for mercury identified 105 and 23 milligram/kilogram (mg/kg), respectively in these samples.

In 1982, DHS collected 17 additional soil samples from the RFS property and analyzed the samples for a large suite of inorganic constituents. Mercury was not detected in any of the samples collected by DHS. Two of these samples were from the same locations as the earlier Samples 2 and 6, collected in 1981 by DHS. None of the inorganic constituents analyzed exceeded TTLCs set by DHS to identify a material as  $\cdots$  hazardous. DHS concluded that no mercury remained on the RFS property and recommended that it should be removed from the list of abandoned hazardous waste sites.

In 1988, CH2M Hill collected additional samples at RFS. The purpose of this investigation was to follow-up on previous sampling activities and to supplement and/or clarify analytical results. Neither DHS nor RWQCB had requested that additional investigations be conducted at RFS. This program was an effort on the part of RFS to become more knowledgeable of previous hazardous waste management practices at the facility.

During this investigation three soil samples were collected in the general area of the former mercury fulminate facility. These samples are identified as SI-1, SI-2, and SI-3 on Figures 2-2 and 2-3. To collect these samples, test pits were excavated to approximately two feet. In each pit, samples were collected at various depths along the wall. These samples were then composited. After the sampling, the pit was refilled with the excavated soils. Prior to sample collection, the air in the pit was tested for the presence of volatile organic compounds using an HNu. No HNu measurements above background were recorded during sampling. All of the soil samples were analyzed for metals, pesticides, and PCBs. Pesticides and PCBs were not detected above analytical method detection limits. The concentrations of metals detected above analytical method detection limits are presented in Table 2-5.

Results from analysis of soil sample SI-1 indicate the presence of 260 mg/kg of mercury. Samples SI-2 and SI-3 also contained mercury, but at much lower concentrations of 0.53 and 0.34 mg/kg, respectively. All samples were collected from the site of the former mercury fulminate facility. The TTLC for mercury is 20 mg/kg, as specified in the California Code of Regulations, Title 26.



J

>



#### TABLE 2-5

# Results of 1988 Soil Samples Collected From the Former Mercury Fulminate Facility (CH2M Hill, 1988)

	SI-1	SI-2	SI-3	TTLC	
	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	
Mercury	260	0.53	0.34	20	
Copper	<b>22</b> 3	47	16	2,500	
Zinc	436	100	24	5,000	

## 3.0 CURRENT SOIL SAMPLING CONDUCTED BY JONAS & ASSOCIATES

#### 3.1 SAMPLING METHODS AND PROCEDURES

## 3.1.1 Sample Collection and Analysis

From December 1989 through February 1990, four rounds of soil samplings were performed by J & A. Figure 3-1 shows sampling locations. The soil investigation was divided into three tasks. The purpose of task 1 was to define the horizontal extent of mercury in soil from 0 - 3 feet in depth range. Task 2 was conducted to determine concentration of mercury in surface soils (0 to 3 inches). Task 3 included soil sample collection from the borehole of the groundwater monitoring well, MW-1. Each task is described below.

# 3.1.1.1 Task 1 - Soil Sample Collection from 0 to 3 Feet Depth Range

In order to determine the horizontal extent of mercury in soil from 0 - 3 feet in depth range, 147 discrete soil samples (6 duplicates = 2 composites) were collected from 47 boreholes. At each borehole three discrete soil samples were collected; 0 - 1 foot, 1 - 2 feet, and 2 - 3 feet below the surface. During the on-site field effort, soil samples were obtained using a two-inch stainless steel hand auger. A sufficient amount of soil was collected for the selected laboratory analysis. The minimum sample volume required for laboratory analysis was 270 grams. Immediately after collection, samples were transferred to labeled, airtight sample containers. Sample containers from each borehole were sealed in an individual plastic bag. Samples were then placed into an insulated cooler for shipment to the laboratory, maintaining the temperature at approximately 4° Celsius. Upon receipt of samples by the laboratory, one composite sample was prepared per each sample location. A total of 49 composites were tested for total mercury (EPA Method 7471). Additional analyses are discussed below:

• The composite soil sample from boring B-21 was analyzed for mercury using EP Toxicity Extraction Method 3020/7470, to determine whether levels of mercury in soil exceeded the designated federal hazardous waste level of 0.2 mg/l.



- The composite soil sample from boring B-18 was analyzed for soluble mercury using the Waste Extraction Test (WET), EPA Method 7471, in order to determine whether levels of mercury in soil exceeded the State hazardous waste level of 0.2 mg/l.
- Mercury fulminate is prepared by the reaction of mercury, ethyl alcohol and nitric acid. Therefore, composite soil samples from borings B-21, B37, B-39, and B-42 were analyzed for nitrate (EPA Method 300). These sample locations were selected because they are situated in the immediate area proposed for future redevelopment.
- Composite soil samples from borings B-21, B-22, B-23, and B-24 were analyzed for sulfate (EPA Method 300). In the past, the area sampled (except B-21) was reported to have been flooded by aqueous sulfate solutions from the ICI Americas' ponds. A composite sample from B-21 was analyzed for sulfate to obtain a background concentration.
- Composite soil samples from borings B-12, B-18, B-38, and B-39 were analyzed for copper and zinc (EPA Method 6010). These analyses were performed for confirmation of the previous sampling results obtained by CH2M Hill (Table 2-...5). Similar to the CH2M Hill results, copper and zinc were detected below TTLC levels.

#### 3.1.1.2 Task 2 - Surface Soil Sample Collection

In addition to the samples discussed above, ten surface soil samples were collected (0 - 3 inches in depth) and are identified as surface samples "SS". These samples were collected near existing boreholes identified in Figure 3-1. The surface samples collected and corresponding adjacent boreholes are identified in Table 3-1. Samples were collected using a stainless steel scooper, and were placed in jars inside an insulated cooler (maintaining them at approximately 4° Celsius), and shipped to the laboratory. All samples were analyzed for mercury (EPA Method 7471). Surface samples SS4 and SS9 (along with the composite samples collected from boreholes B-18 and B-39) were also analyzed for copper and zinc (EPA Method 6010).

3.1.1.3 Task 3 - Collection of Soil Samples from the Groundwater Monitoring Well (MW-1) Borehole

During drilling of the groundwater monitoring well MW-1, soil samples were collected from 2.5 feet to 11.5 feet and from 14 to 15 feet. Composite samples from 2.5 - 4.5 feet, 5 - 6 feet, 6.5 - 7.5 feet, 8 - 9 feet were analyzed for total mercury (EPA Method 7471). These samples were obtained in order to determine the vertical extent of mercury in soil. Soil samples were collected in 6 inch brass tubes and composited at the laboratory. Soil samples from 10.5 - 11.5 feet and 14 - 15 feet were not analyzed by the laboratory. They were collected as lithologic samples only.

#### Table 3-1

Surface Samples and Corresponding Borehole Locations

(J & A,	1990)
	Corresponding
Surface Samples	Borehole
	Locations
SS1	B-6
SS2	B-3
SS3	B-12
SS4	B-18
SS5	B-16
SS6	B-20
SS7	B-10
SS8	B-37
SS9	B-39
<b>S</b> S10	B-43

Field Chain-of-Custody records (Appendix A) completed at the time of sample collection accompanied the samples inside the cooler for shipment to the laboratory. The samples were properly documented on the field chain-of-custody record by the sampling team. Each cooler contained sufficient ice and/or ice packs to ensure that proper temperature was maintained and each was packed in a manner designed to prevent damage to sample containers. All coolers were promptly delivered for analysis to the Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd. analytical laboratories by the J & A field crew.

#### 3.1.2 Decontamination and Post-Sampling Procedures

Decontamination of equipment occurred at a specific zone designated at the site. Sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to initial use, between sample locations, and at the completion of sampling activities. Items requiring decontamination were the stainless steel hand auger, a stainless steel spoon and a scooper, and the split spoon used during well drilling activity. A manual scrubbing to remove foreign material followed by a thorough cleaning was used for decontamination of the above items. All non-disposable equipment was decontaminated according to the procedures summarized below:

o Manual scrub with non-phosphate soap solution followed by a tap water wash

o Tap water rinse

• .

- o Distilled/deionized water rinse
- o 10% nitric acid rinse (for metals only)
- o Distilled/deionized water rinse
- o Air dry
- o Distilled/deionized water rinse

3.1.3 Sample Documentation

Sample documentation included field logbooks, sample labels, and Chain-of-Custody forms. All field documentation was written legibly in waterproof ink. Errors were crossed out with a single line, initialed, and dated.

#### 3.1.4 Sample Identification Numbers

Each sample was assigned a unique identification number that will allow retrieval of information regarding the sample. The sample identification number consists of three main parts, separated by a hyphen. The first part identifies the boring number, and is made up of the letter "B" and a one or two digit number representing the boring number. The second part represents the sampling depth. The third part, is the sampling date.

Example: B1-1-011690 Represents: Boring # 1, taken at the depth of 1 foot on January 16, 1990.

## 3.1.5 Field Logbooks

A project field logbook was used to document the following:

- o Date and time of log entries;
- o Field conditions (weather, terrain, hazards, etc.);
- o Personnel present during field operations;
- o Decontamination procedures;
- o Waste disposal procedures, and a daily inventory of wastes present onsite;
- o Field measurements taken, instrumentation used, and frequency of instrument calibration;
- o Maintenance of instruments;
- o Information recorded on sample labels, as well as the site identification number and the sampling depth;
- o Any unusual sample characterization; and
- o Other specific considerations pertaining to sample acquisition.
• •

# 3.1.6 Sample Labels

A pre-printed adhesive label was affixed to each sample container (Figure 3-2). The information below was written on every sample label:

- o Project number;
- o Sample identification number;
- o Date of sampling;
- o Name of sample collector; and
- o Type of analysis requested.

# Figure 3-2 Sample Label

Curtis & Topkins, Ltd., 2323 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710				
SAMPLE NODATE				
SIGNATURE				
TYPE OF ANALYSIS				

3.1.7 Chain-of-Custody Records

A Chain-of-Custody record accompanied samples when they were shipped to the laboratory (Appendix A). The Chain-of-Custody documents the transfer of samples from one party to another. Additional information noted on this form includes:

- o Project number;
- o Sample identification number;
- o Date and time of sampling;

- o Type of sample; and
- o Type of analysis to be performed.

#### 3.1.8 Quality Control Samples

During Phase I of the investigation, two duplicate soil samples were collected and analyzed for mercury. The locations of the duplicate soil samples are presented in Figure 3-1 and identified as B-21 and B-45. Duplication of samples is a means of checking field and laboratory procedures. They are collected, numbered, and sealed in the same manner as the other samples.

#### 3.1.9 Sample Shipment

The J & A Technical Manager notified the Sales Manager at Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd. (CTL) a week before each round of sampling was scheduled to begin, so that the laboratory could prepare and ship the necessary coolers and sample bottles to the field team in advance. Each shipment of sampling supplies from CTL was accompanied by a cooler packing slip, which documented the number of coolers and the number and ... type of sample containers sent.

Samples were packaged for shipment in a cooler chilled with bags of ice. Sample jars were place in sealed Zip-lock bags. When possession of the samples was transferred, the individuals relinquishing and accepting custody wrote their names, the names of their organizations, and the time of custody transfer on the Chain-of-Custody Record(s).

#### 3.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF SOIL ANALYSES

#### 3.2.1 Results of Analyses for Mercury in Soil Samples

The results of the December 1989 and January 1990 J & A sampling efforts are presented in Figures 3-3 through 3-9. Figures 3-3, 3-4, and 3-5 present mercury concentrations from composite samples collected from the upper 3 feet of soil. Figure 3-6 presents mercury concentrations in the surface soil (0 - 3 inches). Figure 3-7 denotes the sulfate and nitrate concentrations in soil at 0 - 3 foot depth ranges. Figure 3-8 and 3-9 identify the concentrations of copper and zinc detected in soil samples collected from 0 - 3 foot depth ranges and from surface soil.

Results from 0 to 3 feet composite soil samples are presented in Table 3-2 and Figure 3-3.

• •

# Table 3 - 2

# MERCURY IN 0 - 3 FEET OF SOIL RICHMOND FIELD STATION (J & A, 1990)

	MERCURY		MERCURY
COMPOSITE	mg/kg	COMPOSITE	mg/kg
SAMPLE <sup>a</sup>		<b>SAMPLE</b> <sup>a</sup>	
B1	3.5	B24	41.3
<u></u> В2	9.6	B25	0.46
B3	3.3	B26	0.80
B4	4.8	B27	1.2
B5	19	B28	0.29
B6	22	B29	0.10
B7	<b>2</b> 6	B30	4.4
B8	28	B31	6.7
B9	61	B32	1.4
B10	90	B33	0.41
B11	25	B34	7.0
B12	180	B35	3.0
B13	7.4	B36	0.23
B14	8.1	B37	0.73
B15	27	B38	2.5
B16D <sup>b</sup>	28	B39D <sup>b</sup>	6.7
B17	140	B40	2.7
B18	630	B41	0.34
B19	27	B42	0.16
B20	20	B43	1.6
$B21D^{b}$	44	B44	1.0
B22	11	$B45D^{b}$	6.1
B23	2.2	B46	14
B24	41.3	B47	4.7
		B48	0.41

a. Composite samples identified by borehole number.b. D = Duplicate















#### Table 3 - 3

# MERCURY IN SURFACE SOIL RICHMOND FIELD STATION (J & A, 1990)

SAMPLE	MERCURY	SAMPLE	MERCURY
(BOREHOLE	) mg/kg	(BOREHOLE)	mg/kg
<b>SS2</b> (B3)	4.7	<b>SS</b> 1(B6)	3.9
SS7(B10)	21	SS3(B12)	90
SS5(B16)	24	SS4(B18)	<b>2</b> 40
<b>SS6</b> (B20)	1.2	<b>SS8(B37)</b>	13
SS9(B39)	1.1	SS10(B43)	0.92
<u>for the second </u>			

As seen in Figure 3-3, mercury concentrations ranged from 0.1 mg/kg to 630 mg/kg. The highest concentrations were located in the general area of Building 125 and Building 110. The lowest concentrations were in the area of the south-southwestern fence line and at Building 128. Three samples collected along this fence line (B-22, B-23 and B-24) ranged from 0.10 mg/kg to 0.46 mg/kg, with an average concentration of 0.26 mg/kg mercury. The seven borehole samples collected around Building 128 (B-38, B-39, B-41 through B-45) ranged from 0.16 mg/kg to 6.7 mg/kg, with an average concentration of 1.86 mg/kg mercury. Six samples (B-24, B-28, B-32, B-39 and B-44) defined the northern extent of sampling for mercury in soil. Results from these samples ranged from 0.29 mg/kg to 6.7 mg/kg.

Figure 3-4 presents the soil mercury results superimposed on the former mercury fulminate production area. As seen in this figure, high concentrations of mercury are located in this previous production area. Outside of this mercury production area, twenty borehole composite samples ranged in concentrations from 0.1 mg/kg to 6.7 mg/kg, with an average concentration of 2.3 mg/kg mercury. From this analysis there appears to be a very strong correlation between previous activities in the mercury fulminate production area and concentrations of mercury in shallow soil. Figure 3-5 shows that the main body of mercury in soil is localized in the area of the former mercury fulminate facility.

Duplicate samples were collected and analyzed. Samples B-16 and B-21 were collected from the same borehole. Mercury in these samples was, 28 mg/kg and 44 mg/kg, respectively. Samples B-39 and B-45 were also collected from the same borehole. Mercury in these samples was 6.7 mg/kg and 6.1 mg/kg, respectively. The differences in results between duplicate samples is probably due to a natural variation of mercury adsorption in the soil.

۰.

Results from sampling of the surface soil (0 - 3 inches) are presented in Table 3-3 and on Figure 3-6. As presented in Figure 3-6, mercury concentrations above 20 mg/kg are fairly localized in the area of the former mercury fulminate production facility.

During drilling of the monitoring well MW-1, 18 soil samples were collected from 2.5 to 11.5 feet and 14 to 15 feet depths. Four composite samples (2.5 - 9 feet) were analyzed for mercury. Mercury was detected in all four samples at a concentration range of 2.7 to 36 mg/kg. Discrete soil samples from 10.5 to 11.5 feet and 14 to 15 feet were collected for lithologic description only. These samples were not submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

#### 3.2.2 Results of Analyses for Sulfate and Nitrate in Soil Samples

As Table 3-4 indicates, four composite soil samples (0 - 3 feet) were analyzed for sulfate and nitrate. The sample locations are shown in Figure 3-7. Concentrations of sulfate  $(SO_4^{-2})$  sampled east of Egret Way ranged from 170 mg/kg to 300 mg/kg and were collected from three boreholes. Another sample, collected behind Building 125, contained 5.9 mg/kg sulfate.

#### Table 3 - 4

# SULFATE & NITRATE IN 0 - 3 FEET OF SOIL RICHMOND FIELD STATION (J & A, 1990)

COMPOSITE SULFATE SAMPLE <sup>a</sup> mg/kg		COMPOSITE NITRATE SAMPLE mg/kg		
B21	5.9	<b>B2</b> 1	44	
B22	170	B37	18	
B23	230	B39	13	
B24	300	B42	11	
a. Composi	te samples are ide	entified by borehole	numbers.	

Three samples collected near Building 128 and one within the location of the former mercury fulminate facility were analyzed for nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>). Nitrate in the samples collected near building 128 ranged in concentration from 11 to 18 mg/kg (Figure 3-7). The sample collected within the former mercury fulminate facility contained 44 mg/kg of nitrate. Currently, it is unknown whether the nitrate found represents a free radical of nitroglycerin (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>(ONO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, mercury fulminate (C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Hg), or is from another source (garden fertilizer or previous sewage activity).

3.2.3 Results of Analyses for Copper and Zinc in Soil Samples

Table 3-5 and Figures 3-8 and 3-9 present the sample locations and analytical results for copper and zinc concentrations in composite samples B-12, B-18, B-38 and B-39. In addition, two surface samples were collected adjacent to the sample locations for B-18 and B-39. All samples were below the TTLC level of 2,500 ppm for copper, and 5,000 ppm for zinc.

	Table 3 -	5		
	COPPER AND ZINC IN 0 RICHMOND FIELI (J & A, 19	- 3 FEET OF D STATION 190)	SOIL	
COMPOSITE	COPPER (TTLC:2,500)	COMPOSITE	ZINC (TTLC:5,000)	
SAMPLE	mg/kg	SAMPLE	mg/kg	
B12	53	B12	65	
B18	57	B18	640	
B38	200	B38	170	
B39	160	B39	180	
COPPER AND ZINC IN SURFACE SOIL RICHMOND FIELD STATION (J & A, 1990)				
SAMPLE	COPPER (TTLC:2,500)	SAMPLE	ZINC (TTLC:5,000)	
(BOREHOLE)	mg/kg	(BOREHOL	E) mg/kg	
SS4(B18)	140	SS4(B18)	<b>27</b> 0	
SS9(B39)	110	SS9(B39)	150	

3.2.4 Results of EP Toxicity and Waste Extraction (WET) Tests for Mercury in Soil Samples.

In order to determine whether levels of mercury in soil exceeded the designated federal hazardous waste level (0.2 mg/l), an EP Toxicity Extraction (EPA 3020/7470) was preformed on the composite soil sample, B-21. The sample had originally shown 44 mg/kg of total mercury in soil. Results from EP Toxicity Extraction indicated that mercury in the extracted sample was not present above the detection limit of 0.01 mg/l.

In addition to this analysis, the State's Waste Extraction Test (Wet) was performed on the composite sample from borehole B-18 in order to determine whether levels of mercury in soil exceeded the State-designated hazardous waste level (0.2 mg/l). A mercury concentration of 1.3 mg/l was detected in the extracted waste. The results of the WET test indicate that mercury is present in these soils could potentially (under acidic conditions) leach out of soil at concentrations equal to or greater than 0.2 mg/l.

# 4.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL DRILLING, CONSTRUCTION, DEVELOPMENT, SAMPLING, AND ANALYSIS

To characterize the vertical stratigraphy and water table and to determine the presence or absence of mercury in groundwater at RFS, an additional groundwater monitoring well (MW-1) was installed downgradient from the former mercury fulminate facility by Aqua Science Engineers Inc. Figure 4-1 presents the well construction details of the monitoring well, MW-1. Figure 4-2 identifies the general lithologies encountered at the location of MW-1 and the results from the soil sample analysis.

A previous groundwater monitoring well was installed by Aqua Science Engineers on March 7, 1988 as part of an underground fuel storage tank investigation. Groundwater sample collected from this well showed no contaminant of concern. The location of this well is shown in Figure 2-2. This well is located upgradient from the former mercury fulminate facility. It will be considered an upgradient background well for this and all future investigations and will be referred to as MW-A. The well log description of MW-A is presented in Figure 4-3.

The methods and procedures for drilling, construction, development, and sampling of monitoring well MW-1 (installed pursuant to Phase I) are described in this section. Details regarding sample collection procedures, analysis, and analytical results are also included.

# 4.1 DRILLING METHODS

The soil boring for the monitoring well was drilled utilizing a hollow-stem auger. Hollow-stem auger drilling is accomplished through use of a hollow central shaft with an attached spiral scroll. Each section of auger is aligned so that a continuous scroll is formed. A bit is attached at the bottom of the first auger flight. Cuttings created by the bit are removed by the scroll as the auger stem is turned. This method is suitable for relatively shallow drilling in unconsolidated formations. A soil boring 13 feet deep was drilled with an auger rig utilizing an eight-inch outside diameter hollow-stem auger. This boring was completed as a monitoring well. The spoil was collected in drums and set aside for appropriate disposal.

Soil samples for lithologic description and chemical analysis were collected every foot, utilizing a split-barrel drive sampler. A total of 18 discrete soil samples were collected from 2.5 feet to 11.5 feet and from 14 to 15 feet. Soil samples were collected in brass tubes, labeled and placed in plastic bags. Samples were then placed into an insulated cooler for shipment to the laboratory, maintaining them at approximately 4° Celsius.



41



Project: University of California, Richm Field Station, 1301 S. 46th St.	Log of Moni	toring Wel	1	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	Monitoring Well Details	Hammer Blow Count	REMARKS	
<ul> <li>Brown, gravel and dirt backfill material, dry (GM)</li> <li>Light gray/yellow, silty clay, slightly moist, medium plasticity. (CL)</li> <li>Pebble-size gravel and coarse sand layer, approximately 10-12.5 ft</li> <li>(saturated)</li> <li>Light gray/brown/yellow silty clay, slightly moist, medium plasticity, (bay mud) mixed with</li> <li>If a Below 17.5 feet clay is saturated and mixed with fine gravel/coarse sand.</li> <li>Below 17.5 feet clay is saturated and mixed with</li> <li>fine gravel/coarse sand.</li> <li>Below 17.5 feet clay is</li> </ul>	Slotted 2" PvC (0.01") to 1.5 ft       4.5 ft. neat cement locking cap         no.3 sand to 6 ft       1 ft. bentonite pelleta         and box       1 ft. bentonite pelleta		First Water at approximately 9 feet.	
Logged by BB on 3-7-88 Aqua Science Engineers				

MW-A CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Upon receipt by the laboratory, one composite sample was prepared per depth ranges specified on the plastic bag. Four composite samples from 2.5 - 4.5 feet, 5 - 6 feet, 6.5 - 7.5 feet, 8 - 9 feet were analyzed for total mercury (EPA Method 7471). Details on sample collection and analysis are provided in Section 3 of this report.

# 4.2 WELL CONSTRUCTION

The groundwater monitoring well MW-1 was completed with a 5-foot long PVC screen, with a 0.01-inch slot size. The screen was connected to a 10-foot long PVC riser pipe. All joints were flush threaded and no solvents or cements were used on the PVC. The pipe and screen were steam-cleaned before use. The screen penetrates the complete thickness of the aquifer.

A filter pack consisting of three-inch silica sand was placed in the annular space at the well screen and carried 2 feet above the top of the screen. A bentonite seal, one-half foot thick and composed of one-half inch pellets, was placed above the sand pack and the remaining annular space was filled with a neat cement-bentonite grout. Frequent measurements with a steel tape were made during placement of materials to ensure that proper amounts of material were placed and that seals were properly located to avoid cross-contamination. The grout used to finish the well consisted of neat Portland . cement modified with sodium bentonite to reduce shrinkage. The ratio of cement to bentonite was approximately 20:1 on a weight basis. Water and then bentonite were added to the Portland cement.

The well head was completed approximately 1 foot above the grade. A three-foot protective metal casing that extends 1.7 feet below grade and 1.3 feet above grade was placed over the 1-foot riser. The metal casing includes a hinged steel lid with provision for a padlock.

When constructing the well, maximum effort was made to avoid contamination of the well construction materials. The PVC construction materials were procured clean from the fabricators. The following procedures were followed to prevent contamination:

- o All screen and casings were steam-cleaned before installation;
- o All filter-pack material was placed directly from the bag (spilled material was not to be taken from the ground and placed in the boring); and
- o The steel tape used to sound for depth during installation was steam-cleaned between borings.

Additional precautions taken during well construction included:

o Ensuring that no foreign material entered the well casing during construction;

- o Making frequent soundings when placing the filter pack, bentonite, and grout into the annular space;
- Noting the total casing length in the borehole, the stickup, and the casing cutoff so that the total depth could be accurately calculated (i.e.: {(total casing length)-(stickup+cutoff)}=depth); and
- o Recording all final measurements, problems, and comments in the field log book.

#### 4.3 WELL DEVELOPMENT

When well construction was complete and the grout column was cured for a minimum of 24 hours, well development was performed with a submersible pump. Well development was initiated by lowering a submersible pump into the well. The pump was connected directly to an outlet in one of the buildings nearest to the monitoring well. The pump was placed one foot above the bottom of the well. Approximately five well volumes were removed during development. Well volume was calculated using the ... formula:

$$V = Pi(h/4) \{D_{c2}(1-n)+nD_{p2}\}$$

where V = volume of standing water in well, ft<sup>3</sup>

Pi = 3.14

 $D_p$  = diameter of filter pack, ft

- $D_c =$  diameter of well casing, ft
- n = porosity of the filter pack, decimal fraction
- h = height of standing water in well, ft

The variable h was determined by subtracting the depth to water from the total well depth. The value n was 0.3. To convert the well volume to gallons, V was multiplied by 7.48. Water level and well depth were measured with an electric sounding device.

The monitoring well was pumped until the discharge was clear, colorless, and free of particulates. Water from equipment steam-cleaning and development were stored in 55-gallon drums.

#### 4.4 SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES AND ANALYSIS

Upon the completion of well development, a teflon bailer was used to collect the sample. First, the teflon bailer was rinsed five-times with well water. Then the sample was directly poured from the bailer into a one-liter plastic sample bottle provided by Curtis & Tompkins laboratory. The bailer was decontaminated prior to and after sample collection. The sample bottle was sealed, labeled and kept at 4°C in an ice chest until transferred to the laboratory. The sample was filtered and preserved by the

laboratory upon receipt. The groundwater sample collected was analyzed for mercury (EPA Method 7470) and TDS (EPA Method 160.1).

# 4.5 <u>RESULTS OF ANALYSES FOR MERCURY AND TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS</u> (TDS) IN WATER SAMPLE

Figure 4-4 presents the location of monitoring well MW-1 within the former mercury fulminate facility. MW-1 is located approximately 60 feet downgradient of the highest mercury concentration measured in soil (630 mg/kg in composite sample, B-18).

Mercury was not detected above the instrument detection limit of 0.001 mg/l in the groundwater sample. TDS was measured at 1,300 ppm in groundwater. Mercury was encountered in the soil to a depth of nine feet below the surface, as shown in Figure 4-2. The transmissive zone of silty sand, which was eventually screened for monitoring well MW-1, showed a mercury concentration in soil of 2.7 mg/kg. Concentrations above that zone were generally an order of magnitude higher.

The results of the groundwater sample analysis indicate that the mercury in the soil could be effectively bound and may not be releasing into groundwater. From a water quality perspective this is very encouraging, since mercury fulminate has been at this location for over forty years and it might be expected to be present in the groundwater. However, based on the groundwater analysis, no mercury was detected. This may be due to the insoluble property of mercury fulminate, as well as the presence of a thick clay layer (0 - 7.5 feet) that provides an organic environment for mercury to effectively bind with the organic fraction of the silts and clay. Additional groundwater samples will be collected as part of Phase II of the mercury investigation to augment the preliminary findings of Phase I.

# 5.0 THE CHEMISTRY, TOXICOLOGY, AND MIGRATION PATHWAYS OF MERCURY AND MERCURY FULMINATE

The discussion presented in this section is based on a general literature search. A public health and environmental risk assessment is proposed for phase II of the mercury investigation, at which time a thorough evaluation will be performed.

# 5.1 CHEMISTRY OF MERCURY AND MERCURY FULMINATE

#### 5.1.1 Chemistry of Mercury

Mercury has an atomic weight of 200.59. At  $20^{\circ}$ C, its specific gravity is 13.546 and its vapor pressure is 0.0012 torr. The solubility of metallic mercury in pure water has been determined by Sanemasa (1975) to be 0.019 mg/l and 0.081 mg/l at 5°C and  $30^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

Under the usual conditions of temperature and pressure that occur in the environment, mercury can be present in any one of three different oxidation states. The most reduced form is the metal, which is a liquid at ordinary temperatures and which has a tendency to vaporize. The other two forms are the mercurous ion,  $Hg^{+1}$ , and the



٠.

mercuric ion Hg<sup>+2</sup>. Mercury can build many species. Some complex ions have considerable aqueous solubility, while others are relatively insoluble. Mercury also forms many stable organic complexes. Within a moderately oxidizing environment above pH 5, the predominant mercury species will be elemental mercury. Mildly reducing conditions can cause mercury to precipitate as a sulfate (cinnabar). Cinnabar has an extremely low aqueous solubility. In aquatic environments that are high in chloride, the solubility of mercury in oxygenated solutions may be greatly increased by the formation of mercuric chloride complexes (Garvis and Ferguson, 1972).

Equally important are the processes which produce the organic complexes of mercury. Two types of alkylated mercury compounds are formed in the environment:

- o Compounds with a single carbon-mercury bonds that act as substituted salts which are reasonably water-soluble.
  - An example is methyl mercuric chloride (CH<sub>3</sub>HgCl), which becomes CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup> ion and  $Cl^-$  in solution.
- o Compounds with two carbon atoms attached to the mercury. These covalent mercury compounds are generally quite insoluble.
  - An example is dimethyl mercury  $(CH_3HgCH_3)$  which is volatile and is undisassociated in solution. Inorganic forms of mercury can be converted to organic forms by microbial action.

#### 5.1.2 Mercury Fulminate

Mercury fulminate {Hg-(ONC)<sub>2</sub> or  $C_2N_2O_2Hg$ } has a molecular weight of 284.6 and a density of 4.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Its percentage of nitrogen is 9.84%. It is a crystal at standard temperatures and pressure. Its heat of explosion is 355 Kilocalorie per kilogram (kcal/kg) or 1486 kilojoule per kilogram (kj/kg). Mercury fulminates deflagration point is 165°C or 330°F and its impact sensitivity is 1-2 newton meter (N m).

Mercury fulminate is practically insoluble in water. When dry, it is highly sensitive to shock, impact, and friction, and is easily detonated by sparks and flames.

Mercury fulminate is prepared by dissolving mercury in nitric acid, after which the solution is poured into 95% ethanol. After a short while, a vigorous gas evolution takes place and crystals are formed. When the reaction has ended, the crystals are filtered by suction and washed until neutral. The mercury fulminate product is obtained as small, brown-to grey pyramid-shaped crystals; the color is caused by the presence of colloidal mercury. Owing to its excellent priming power, its high brisance, and to the fact that it can easily be detonated, mercury fulminate was the initial explosive most frequently used prior to the appearance of lead azide. It was used in compressed form in the manufacture of blasting caps and percussion caps. Mercury fulminate is stored under water.

# 5.2 FATE AND TRANSPORT OF MERCURY

Mercury and certain of its compounds can volatilize to the atmosphere from aquatic and terrestrial sources. In the atmosphere complex species of mercury commonly form. Precipitation is the primary mechanism for removal of mercury from the atmosphere. But photolysis can also breakdown airborne mercurials. Adsorption onto suspended and bottom sediments is commonly the fate of mercury in the aquatic environment. Sorption is strongest on organic materials. Mercury in soils generally complexes to organic compounds.

Virtually all mercury compounds can be remobilized by microbial conversion to methyl and dimethyl forms. Conditions reported to enhance biomethylation include large amounts of available mercury, large numbers of bacteria, the absence of strong complexing agents, near neutral pH, high temperatures, and moderately aerobic environments. Mercury is also strongly bioaccumulated by numerous mechanisms. Methylmercury is the most readily accumulated form of mercury in aquatic biota.

# 5.2.1 Volatilization

Metallic mercury has a relatively high vapor pressure relative to other metals and commonly enters the atmosphere as several different gaseous compounds. The rate of vaporization of mercury and certain of its inorganic compounds decreases in the sequence  $Hg > Hg_2Cl_2 > HgCl_2 > HgS > HgO$ , according to Koksay and Bradshaw (1969). The microbial methylation of mercury also enhances evaporation of mercury.

#### 5.2.2 Sorption

Mercury has an affinity for many surfaces. In water samples a major portion of the total mercury is found associated with particulate matter (Hinkle and Learned, 1969). Ramamoorthy and Rust (1976) found that sorption rates are highest in organic-rich soils, with sediment binding capacity closely related to organic content. They also found that desorption rates are low: less than one percent Hg leached from sediments after 70 hours of agitation in distilled water.

#### 5.2.3 Biotransformation

Mercury does take part in biological reactions which alter its mobility and toxicity. Bisogni and Lawrence (1975) evaluated the influences of inorganic mercury concentrations and speciation, pH, microbial activity, and redox potential on mercury methylation rates. They found that in a neutral pH the primary product of mercury methylation is methyl mercury. Methylation can occur under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. More mercury methylation occurs when high number of bacteria are present. Therefore, highly organic sediments which favor bacterial growth have a higher methylation potential than inorganic sediments. Upon entering an aqueous system, mercurial compounds will tend to convert to methylmercury through microbial chemical transformation.

#### 5.3 TOXICOLOGY OF MERCURY

Mercury occurs as elemental mercury and as inorganic and organic compounds (mercury vapor, mercury liquid, mercury salts, short-chain alkylmercury compounds, alkoxyalkylmercury compounds and phenylmercury compounds), all having different toxicological properties.

Mercury is circulated naturally in the biosphere, 30,000 - 150,000 tons being released into the atmosphere by degassing from the earth's crust and the oceans. In addition, 20,000 tons of mercury are released into the environment each year by human activities such as combustion of fossil fuels and other industrial release. Yearly, 10,000 tons of mercury are produced for industrial use, a small part of which is used for synthesizing organic mercury compounds.

In nature, methylmercury is produced from inorganic mercury as a consequence of microbial activity. In fish, the major amount of mercury is methylmercury. Factors determining the methylmercury concentration in fish are: mercury content in water and bottom sediment; pH and redox potential of water; and species, age, and size of fish.

The toxic properties of mercury vapor are due to mercury accumulation in the brain causing neurological signs, involving an unspecific psychoasthenic and vegetative syndrome (micromercurialism). At high exposure levels mercurial tremor is seen, accompanied by severe behavioral and personality changes, increased excitability, loss of memory and insomnia. On a group basis, exposure levels are likely to be reflected in mercury concentrations in blood and urine. Occupational exposure to mercury concentrations in air above 0.1 milligram per cubic meter (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) may produce mercurialism. Micromercurialism has not been reported at concentrations below 0.01 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

The acute and long-term action of mercuric salts, phenylmercury compounds and alkoxyalkylmercury compounds is likely to be gastrointestinal disturbance and renal damage - appearing as a tubular dysfunction with tubular necrosis in severe cases. The lethal dose in human is about 1 gram of mercuric salt. The mercury load on the kidney is best determined by analysis of renal biopsy. Mercury concentrations in the kidney between 10 and 70 mg/kg have been reported in poison cases involving renal injury. Levels below 3 mg/kg may be found in normal cases. Occasionally, mercuric compounds may cause idiosyncratic skin symptoms that may develop into severe exfoliative dermatitis or may cause glomerular nephritis. A specific form of idiosyncrasy, called acrodynia or pink disease, is seen in children. Most cases are associated with mercury exposure showing increased levels of mercury in urine.

The hazards involved in long-term intake of food containing methylmercury and in occupational exposure to methylmercury are due to the efficient absorption (90%) of methylmercury in man and the long retention-time (half-time 70 days) with accumulation of methylmercury in the brain. Chronic poisoning results in degeneration and atrophy of the sensory cerebral cortex, paresthesia, ataxia, hearing

۰.

and visual impairment. Prenatal exposure causes cerebral palsy and in less severe cases, psychomotor retardation. Methylmercury concentration in blood and hair reflects the body burden and the concentration in brain of methylmercury. Intake resulting in body burdens of less than 0.5 mg/kg body weight is not likely to give rise to detectable neurological signs in adults. This intake corresponds to blood values of less than 200 ug/l and mercury level in hair less than 50 mg/kg. However, this level of methylmercury exposure in pregnant females may result in inhibited brain development of fetus with psychomotor retardation of the child as a consequence. The highest level of methylmercury load in pregnant women, not associated with inhibition of fetal brain development, is not known.

The history of mercury toxicology has been reviewed by Goldwater (1964), the pharmacology and toxicology by Clarkson et al. (1972), the chemistry of mercury in biological systems by Carty and Malone (1979), the toxicology of methylmercury by a Swedish Expert Group (1971), and the toxicology and epidemiology by Friberg and Vostal (1972), by the Task Group on Metal Accumulation (1973), the Task Group on Metal Toxicity (1976), and by the WHO (1976,1980).

# 6.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# 6.1 <u>SUMMARY OF THE INVESTIGATION</u>

UC has initiated a comprehensive investigation of potential mercury contamination at the former mercury fulminate facility at RFS in Richmond, California. Earlier investigations indicated the presence of mercury in soils. J & A was retained in December 1989, under order 0-200248-TR to begin Phase I of this investigation. The overall objectives of the entire investigation are to:

- Collect the necessary data to verify and characterize the presence of mercury contamination;
- Determine the extent of mercury contamination in soil;
- Evaluate groundwater quality within the study area;
- Evaluate the specific risks and hazards to public health and the environment that may result from the contamination; and
- Identify the appropriate cleanup criteria and provide a quantitative basis for selection of an effective removal action.

A summary of Phase I activities is presented below:

- o Collection of 147 discrete soil samples (0 3 feet) from 47 boreholes;
- o Analysis of 49 composite (0 3 feet) soil samples for mercury;
- o Collection and analysis of 10 surface soil samples (0 3 inches) for mercury;

۰.

- o Analysis of four composite soil samples for sulfate and nitrate;
- Analysis of four composite (0 3 feet) and two surface soil samples (0 3 inches) for copper and zinc;
- o Analysis of one composite soil sample for mercury using EP Toxicity and WET extraction procedures;
- o Installation of one groundwater monitoring well, MW-1;
- o Collection of 18 discrete soil samples collected during the monitoring well drilling;
- o Mercury analysis of four composite soil samples collected from the monitoring well/borehole;
- o Collection and mercury analysis of one groundwater sample from monitoring well MW-1; and
- o Data analysis and report preparation.

## 6.2 <u>SUMMARY OF RESULTS</u>

Current findings to date indicate that:

- o Mercury is present in the soil above designated state and federal hazardous waste levels;
- o Mercury was not identified in groundwater;
- o Copper and zinc are present in the soil below designated state and federal hazardous waste levels; and
- o Sulfate and nitrate were detected in soil in low concentrations.

#### 6.3 <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

It is recommended that the following tasks be incorporated into Phase II of this investigation which is intended to serve as a preliminary Public Health and Environmental Evaluation (PHEE).

- Perform a preliminary Public Health and Environmental Evaluation (PHEE);
- Conduct field investigations as necessary to collect any additional data needed for the PHEE;
- Continue groundwater sampling and analysis; and

..

• Using information developed by the PHEE provide a quantitative basis for selection of an appropriate removal action.

However, the exact scope of work for the Phase II of the investigation will be finalized after UC's meeting(s) with DHS and other regulatory agencies review of this report

1

۰.

#### REFERENCES

- Adrad, B., Project Officer, Department of Health Services. June 1988. Personal Communication.
- Aqua Science Engineers Inc. 1988. Soil Boring Investigation at 1301 South 46th Street, Richmond, California, June 3, 1988.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. 1986. The Designated Level Methodology for Waste Classification and Cleanup Level Determination.
- California Department of Health Services, Toxic Substances Control Division, Alternative Technology and Policy Development Section. 1986. The California Site Mitigation Decision Tree Manual. Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Health Services. 1982. Hazardous Waste Surveillance and Compliance Report, Richmond Field Station, July 15, 1982.
- California Department of Health Services, Hazardous Materials Laboratory Section. 1989. Laboratory Report for Total Metal Analysis, RFS, Richmond, CA July, 28, 1989.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. 1988. A Compilation of Water Quality Goals, Prepared by John B. Marshack.
- CH2M Hill. 1987. Draft Workplan for the Phase I Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study, Summer Del Caribe, Richmond, California. Prepared for the California Department of Health Services, November 1987.
- Coate, A., CH2M Hill. 1988. Letter to Glaud Kuykendall, Richmond Field Station, summarizing results of environmental sampling at the University of California, Richmond Field Station, Dated December, 6, 1988.
- Cook, M., A. 1971. The Science of High Explosives, American Chemical Society Monograph Series, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., New York.
- Dodge, L.CH2M Hill. 1988. Letter to Glaud Kuykendall, Richmond Field Station recommending additional environmental investigations, Dated June 16, 1988.
- EAL Corporation. 1984. Analytical Results, RFS, May 7, 1984.
- Friberg, L. and et. al. 1986. Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals, Volume II: Specific Metals. Elsevier, New York.
- Gavis, J. and J.F. Ferguson. 1972. The Cycling of Mercury Through the Environment. Water Res. 6:989-1008.

- Gutstein, J., University of California, SEEHRL. 1989. Acidity in the Richmond Field Station Salt Marsh.
- Gutstein, J., University of California, Berkeley, SEEHRL. 1989. The Natural Areas of Richmond Field Station.
- Hall, L.E. 1988. Report of Hydrogeologic Investigation ICI Americas, Richmond, California, February 12, 1988. Richmond Field Station, University of California, Berkeley.
- Hall L.E., Environmental Services & Operations, ICI Americas Inc. Richmond, CA. 1989. Personal Communication, July.
- Helley, J. E. and K. R. LaJoie, U.S. Geological Survey. 1979. Flatland Deposits of the San Francisco Bay Region, California Their Geology and Engineering Properties, and Their Importance to Comprehensive Planning.
- Hinkle, M.E. and R.E. Learned. 1969. Determination of Mercury in Natural Waters by Collection on Silver Screens. pp.251-255. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 650D, Washington, D.C. 299p.
- ICI Americas Inc. 1987. Assessment of Surface Impoundments at ICI Americas, Richmond, California, for TPCA, November 2, 1987.
- ICI Americas Inc. 1987. Report of Hydrogeological Investigation of Cinder Landfill at the Richmond Site. February 12, 1988.
- James, Roger, Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region. 1987. Letter to Mr. Joseph Odrzywolski, Stauffer Chemical Company, regarding the requirements of the Toxic Pits Cleanup Act, Dated <au 6. 1987.
- Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. 1989. Wetland Delineations of Potential Sites for the EPA Laboratory, University of California, Richmond Field Station, Richmond, California, September 28, 1989.
- Kirk-Othmer. 1965. Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Volume 8, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Kuykendall, Glaud. 1989 and 1990. Personal Communications.

- Meyer, R. 1981. Explosives. Second revised and extended edition, Verlag Chemie, Florida.
- Oddi, M. 1982. The South Richmond Marshes: An Ecological Analysis, in The East Bay Shoreline, Selected Environmental Issues: Chapter 7. Environmental Sciences Group, University of California, Berkeley.

...

- Ramamoorthy, S. and B.R. Rust. 1976. Mercury Sorption and Desorption Characteristics of Some Ottawa River Sediments. Can. J. Earth Sci. 13:530-536.
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. 1987. Staff Report on Water Quality in San Francisco Bay.
- Sanemasa, I. 1975. The Solubility of Elemental Mercury Vapor in Water. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan. 48:1795-1798.

Sax, I.N. 1989. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, Van Nostrand Reinhold.

- Shively, J., College of Engineering, Richmond Field Station. 1982. Letter to Mr. Ben Gonzales, Environmental Health & Safety, regarding alleged hazardous chemical waste, Dated July 13, 1982.
- Singer, H. J., Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region. 1983. Letter to Frank Genta, Office Facilities Manager, Richmond Field Station, Dated March 10, 1983.
- Thomas, J.F., PhD, PE, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley. 1984. Letter to Lester Feldman, regarding removing Richmond Field Statrion from State Hazardous Waste Site List, Dated September 18, 1984.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.1987. Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances, 1985-86 Edition, Volume 3. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- University of California, Berkeley, Engineering Geosciences, Department of Materials Science and Mineral Engineering. 1986. Hydrogeologic Site Assessment of the Engineering Geoscience Well Field at the Richmond Field Station, Contra Costa County, California, December 4,1986.

Ibid. 1987. Borehole Electromagnetic Survey of the Engineering Geoscience Well Field at Richmond Field Station.

- University of California, Richmond Field Station. Review of historical files and aerial photographs of Richmond Field Station, conducted by J & A in 1989.
- University of California, Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, Richmond Field Station. 1965. Final Report on Movement of Coliform Bacteria Through Porous Media, November 30, 1965.
- \_\_\_\_Ibid. 1954. Final Report in Laboratory Investigations of the Travel of pollution from Direct Recharge into Underground Formations, December 31, 1954.
- White, Charles, P.E., Dept. of Health Services. 1982. Letter to Mr. John Shively, College of Engineering, Richmond Field Station, regarding removal of the Richmond Field

..

Station from the list of abandoned hazardous waste disposal sites, Dated December 17,1982.

- Woodward Clyde & Associates. 1980. Supplemental Geotechnical Exploration, Northern Regional Library Compact Shelving Facility, University of California, Richmond Field Station, December 16, 1980.
- Woodward, Clyde & Associates, 1953. Richmond Field Station Development, Step 1, Soil Investigation for Three Proposed Buildings, August 24, 1953.
- Woodward-Clyde-Sherard and Associates. 1962. Soil Investigation for the Proposed Towing Tank Extension, Building 275, Richmond Field Station, Richmond, California, January 25, 1962.
- Woodward-Clyde-Sherard and Associates. 1963. Soil Investigation for the Proposed Engineering Materials Laboratory Building, Richmond, California, January 25, 1963.

۰.

# APPENDIX A

1

Jonas & Associates Soil Sampling Laboratory Results

and

Chain-of-Custody Forms

۰.

# JONAS & ASSOCIATES INC.

# APPENDIX A

Jonas & Associates Soil Sampling Laboratory Results

and

Chain-of-Custody Forms

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878 2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA. 94710, Phone (415) 486-0900

> DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REPORTED: 01/04/90 PAGE 1 OF 5

LAB NUMBER: 19050

**F** 

CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

**REPORT ON: 21 SOIL COMPOSITES** 

PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

Labo rator

Wilmington



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19050 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES, INC. PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE ANALYZED: 01/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/04/90 PAGE 2 OF 5

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

\_\_\_\_\_\_

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT
19050-	B11122689/	3.5	mg / Kg	1.0
1,2,3	B12122689/ B13122689			
19050-	B21122689/	9.6	mg / Kg	1.0
4,5,6	B 2 2 1 2 2 6 8 9 / B 2 3 1 2 2 6 8 9			
19050-	B31122689/	3.3	mg / Kg	0.10
7,8,9	B32122689/ B33122689			
19050-	B41122689/	4.8	mg / Kg	0.10
10,11,12	B42122689/ B43122689			
19050-	B51122689/	19	mg/Kg	10
13,14,15	B 5 2 1 2 2 6 8 9 / B 5 3 1 2 2 6 8 9			
19050-	B61122689/	22	mg/Kg	10
16,17,18	B62122689/ B63122689			

QA/QC:

QA/QC.		
RPD, %		<1
RECOVERY, %		99
	E 22 22 22 22 22 23 23 22 22 22 22 23 23	 


DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE ANALYZED: 01/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/04/90 PAGE 3 OF 5

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT
19050-	<b>B71122689</b> /	26	mg/Kg	10
19,20,21	<b>B72122689</b> /			
	B73122689			
19050-	<b>B81122689</b> /	28	mg/Kg	10
22,23,24	<b>B82122689</b> /			
	<b>B83122689</b>			
19050-	<b>B91122689</b> /	61	mg / Kg	10
25,26,27	<b>B92122689</b> /			
	<b>B93122689</b>			
19050-	B101122689/	90	mg/Kg	10
28,29,30	B102122689/			
	B103122689			
19050-	B111122689/	25	mg/Kg	10
31,32,33	B112122689/		0 0	
	B113122689			
19050-	<b>B121122689</b> /	180	mg / Kg	10
34,35,36	B122122689/			
	B123122689	•		

QA/QC:

=======================================	
RPD, %	<1
RECOVERY, %	99



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE ANALYZED: 01/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/04/90 PAGE 4 OF 5

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT
19050-	B131122689/ B132122689/	7.4	mg / Kg	1.0
57,58,57	B133122689			•
19050-	B141122689/	8.1	mg/Kg	5.0
40,41,42	B142122689/ B143122689			
10050	P151122680/	2.7		1.0
43,44,45	B152122689/	21	mg / Kg	10
	B153122689			
19050-	B161122689/	28	mg / Kg	10
40,47,40	B163122689			
19050-	B171122689/	140	mg/Kg	10
49,50,51	B172122689/			
	D1/3122089			
19050- 52,53,54	B181122689/ B182122689/	630	mg /Kg	450
,,•	B183122689			

QA/QC:

RPD, %	<1
RECOVERY, %	99



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE ANALYZED: 01/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/04/90 PAGE 5 OF 5

ANALYSIS: I PREPARATIO METHOD REF	MERCURY N METHOD: EPA ( ERENCE: EPA 74)	3 0 2 0 7 1			
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LI	TIM

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
19050-	B191122689/	27	mg / Kg	10
55,56,57	B192122689/			
	B193122689			
19050-	B201122689/	20	mg/Kg	10
58,59,60	B202122689/		5 5	
	B203122689			
19050-	B211122689/	4 4	mg/Kg	10
61,62,63	B212122689/		5.5	
	B213122689			

QA/QC:			
RPD, %		<1	
RECOVERY, %		99	
	 	 	=====

Berkeley, ( (415) 486 Job Descrip Job Number Client Conta	California 94710 3-0900 Dition $UCRFS$ $S + A - C$ $act Romenoe$	5-50,1 borin JCRF5-10] - Jenau	Samplers <u>Ro</u>	mena Jomos			<pre>\$ (# ) -Xvlene(s)</pre>	sticides & PCB's
Water Soil Waste Oil	#Containers H2S04 HN03 HN03 Ice None None	Sample Number	Sampling Date Yr Mo Dy Time	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020	EPA 624/8240 EPA 625/8270	Title 22 Metal: EPA PP Metals TPH Method- Benzene-Toluen	011 and Grease EPA 608/8080 Pu M حدر د ۲۲
		B       I       I       Z       Z       L       N       N         B       I       I       Z       Z       L       N	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Make il compositi ori There Thise it Analyte The Composite For All parts for Terring The of singel Suma as about.				
Laboratory N	Notes :		F F F F	Chain Relinquished by: (signature ) ( Relinquished by: (signature ) ( Relinquished by: (signature ) ( Relinquished by: (signature ) ( Relinquished by: (signature ) ()	of Cu Date/Hr Date/Hr Date/Hr Date/Hr	stody F F	Record Received by Received by Received by	(signature) (signature) (signature) (signature)

	Curtis 2323 Filth Berkeley, ( (415) 486	<b>S &amp; Tompkins, Ltd</b> Street Callfornia 94710 5-0900	Samplers <u>Rom</u>	19 rorni -	ANALYSIS REQUESTED
	Job Descrip Job Number Client Conta	otion UCRES-Soil baring JAA- UCRES-10] act Romena Jonaco	Recorder Ro	nour Jonas	ls (#) he-Xylene(s) e Pesticides 6
	Matrix Soil Dil	Method Preserved 500 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 602/8020         EPA 624/8240         EPA 625/8270         Fitle 22 Metals         EPA PP Metals         EPA PP Metals         EPA PP Metals         Senzene-folue         Senzene-folue         Oil and Greas         Oil and Greas         OXLCUTY
11 5 11 11 5 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Autore and an Autor	
	Laboratory	Notes :	R R R D	Chain of elinquished by: (signature ) Date/ elinquished by: (signature ) Date/ elinquished by: (signature ) Date/ elinquished by: (signature ) Date/	Custody Record Hr Received by (signature) /89 Hr Received by (signature) Hr Received by (signature) Hr Received by (signature) Ir Received for Lab by (signature)

J	Berkeley, (415) 486	California 94710 5-0900 Dition <u>UCRFS</u>	- Soil boring-	Samplers	Romena Jonan			(S) S 6 PCB'S
J C	lob Numbe <u>r</u> Client Cont	act_Rome	UCRES-181	Recorder	Roman Jonas		() () () ()	ne-Xyiene e Pesticide 747)
Water	Matrix Natrix 011 011	Hethod Preserved H-2S04	Sample Number	Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020	2PA 624/8240 2PA 625/8270 Title 22 Meta 2PA PP Metals	CPH Method- Benzene-Tolue Dil and Greas CPA 608/8080 M Triciny
22 87 75 926 17 29 19 30			$BS$ 1       1       2       2 $C$ $S$ $j$ $BS$ 2       1       2 $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $BS$ 3       1       2 $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $BS$ 3       1       2 $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $BS$ 1       1 $Z$ $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $M'_1$ 2       1 $Z$ $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $M'_1$ $Z$ 1 $Z$ $Z$ $C$ $S$ $j$ $M'_1$ $Z$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 5 Make a company re 1 5 Make a company re 1 5 Sample of These 1 5 Sample of These 1 5 Sample And yes the 1 5 Same as above 4 6 5 5 Same as above 5 5			
	Laboratory I	Notes :			Chair Relinquished by: (signature) Roman 12, Relinquished by: (signature) Relinquished by: (signature)	of Cus Date/Hr /27/89 Date/Hr Date/Hr	tody Rec Receiv Receiv Receiv	ord ed by (signature ) ed by (signature ) ed by (signature )
					Relinquished by: (signature ) Dispatched by: (signature ) D	Date/Hr Pate/Hr	Receiv	ad by (signature ) ad for Lab by (signature

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd	Cham of custouy form	ANALYSIS REQUESTED
2323 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900	Samplers Romena Jonas	ν - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Job Description VCRFS-Soil be	in 7	
Job Number 3+A-UCRES-10 Client Contact Romana Jonuc	Recorder Roman Jonas	ls (* ) (* ) Pesticid
Matrix Method Preserved Sample Nur	nber Sampling Date SAMPLE NOTES	601/8010 602/8020 524/8240 525/8270 e 22 Metals Method- ene-Tolue ene-Tolue 608/8080
Watey Naste HNO3 HNO3 Cines	Yr Mo Dy Time	EPA ( EPA ( EPA ( EPA ( Senze Senze Senze
31       1       2       2         31       1       2       2         32       1       2       2         33       1       2       2         34       1       2       2         35       1       2       2         34       1       2       2         34       1       2       2         35       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         36       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2         37       1       2       2	898912261:15 Same ao 898912261:15 Defare 898912261:15 898912261:15 898912261:38 898912261:38 898912261:38 898912261:38 898912261:38 898912261:38 50me no before 89898912261:38	
Laboratory Notes :	Chain Relinquished by: (signature ) [ <i>Romena Jorus 1212</i> Relinquished by: (signature ) [ Relinquished by: (signature ) [	of Custody Record         Date/Hr       Received by (signature )         27/89
	Dispatched by: (signature ) D	Pate/Hr Received for Lap-by (signature )

			23 Be (4	<b>U</b> 23 rk 15	r ele	ti in 9y, 48	h C	Sti ali 09		et rni )	T (	D 94	<b>11</b> 471	<b>) [</b> 10	)	k	: <b>i</b> 1		s,	L	t		1								S	ar	nŗ	ole	ers	3- -	ß	ے ا	2 2	9 n	<u>×1</u>	14		3	50	na	2		-										T	6 PCB's					
	Jo Jol Cli	b b ei	I N nt	De	nt Co	or De	r L			+/ P	1 2 2	<u>/</u> 2 2	U	( c m	R	S F			5 - 1 - 5	ره 0 ۷ ه	1 1 10	b v	2	6	<u>г</u>	<i>Э</i>		-			R	le	cc	orc	le	 r	<u>F</u>	2	m	n		<u>م</u>	~	Ą	R	Ya	2							ls	(#)		ne-Xvlene (s		0	Pesticides	1676				
	61		Le Vi	ati	rix			nt ui nore		504 A	M re	ett se		ed 9	ler		S	ar	np	ole	N	٩L	ım	b	er	•		 ç	Sa	ım	ıp	lir	ng	[ 	Da	te	)				S	AN	IPL	E	NC	DTE	ES		0108/102	0 602 / 8020	0100/100	624/8240	625/82/0	ile 22 Meta.	A PP Metals	Method-	1911 0 T- TO 1 11 61		and Greas	A 608/8080 1	er ( ury				
41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41			Wa:							H							BILL BY BY BY BY BY	1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2								- - - -	8							/ 	2 2 3 3 4				2	ニシフト フト フ	Σ	sin Iza Ja	na 3		1 	Paj Pa	23 je	Σ																	
		ab		ra	to	ry	<u> </u>		te	)S	:																												Re			ishe Ishe	bed bed bed bed bed	by: by: by:	: (si (si (sig	( lgna gna gna gna	ture ture ture	in ) [ ) [ ) [ ) [ ) [					dy	Re Re Re		CC Ive Ive		by by by by by by	(s (s (s (s La	ign Ign Ign		ure ure (si	) )		

1. **N** 

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd 2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900	Samplers Romena Jona p	
Job Description <u>UCRFS-Solloc</u> Job Number <u>J+A - UCRFS-10</u> Client Contact <u>Bomena</u> <u>Jonas</u>	Recorder Romania Jonus	1s (* ) (* ) re-Xylene(s) e Pesticides 6 V.M.c & 74
Matrix Matrix Matrix Hethod Preserved Sample Numt	er Sampling Date SAMPLE NOTES	PA 601/8010 PA 602/8020 PA 624/8240 itle 22 Metals PA PP Metals PA PP Metals PA 608/8080 Mertod- il and Greas PA 608/8080 Mertod-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	298912263:30 Same do Page 3 	
Laboratory Notes :	Cha Relinquished by: (signature <i>Romerie</i> Jonas 12 Relinquished by: (signature Relinquished by: (signature Dispatched by: (signature)	tin of Custody Record ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) 2/27/89 ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) ) Date/Hr Received for Lab by (signature )

C U 232 Berl (415	Irtis 3 Filth S keley, Ca 5) 486-0	<b>B</b> tree alifor	TO ot rnla 9	<b>)    </b> 9471	<b>) ()</b>	ki	- N 9	s,	L	td			U	18		S	D <b>t</b> am	L	u: ers	st Rc	0 ( 	dy i		<b>m</b>	J.v.		F					SIS	REC	NES	TED IC			
Job Do Job Nu Client	escript Imbe <u>r</u> Conta	$\frac{1}{2}$	Va A Bar	<i>CK</i> - U ma	PE ICR	S. ₹F	s -	Sc - 1	); () 16	}    1	20	- () r	1)			R	ec	or	der	R	571	Nin		T.M.	ť.,						S-1		ne-Xylene (s)		MITHOR 7.			
Mat oil aste	trix	Containers	Me Pres Pres Q	erv erv	one pe	9	Sar		le RT	Nu 5) hr:	mt Te	ber		Yr	Sa	mp	ling	g I	Dat	e		s	AMP	LEN	IOTE	S	PA 601/8010	PA 602/8020	PA 624/8240	PA 625/8270	DITIE 22 Meta Da DD Metals	PH Method-	enzene-Tolue	il and Greas	A DUB/BUBU	1		
						82 82 82 82			22	37 22 4 4		8 4									50	5	50m	3	ρ <i>ω</i> , <sup>2</sup> υ Ρα	- 3 Je												
Labora	atory N	ote	s :												•						F A R R	Relinqu Relinqu Relinqu Relinqu Relinqu	ished ished ished	by: ( by: ( by: ( by: (	C signati Signati signati	hain ure) ) ) ure) ( ure) ( ure) (	of Date/ Date/ Date/ Date/	Cu /Hr /8 Hr Hr Hr		indy F F	Re Rece Rece Rece Rece		rd d by d by d by	(siç (siç (siç (siç	gnati gnati gnati gnati	игө) игө) игө) (sig	natur	



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REPORTED: 01/17/90 PAGE 1 OF 2

LAB NUMBER: 19172

CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES

**REPORT ON: 1 SOIL COMPOSITE** 

PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

QA/QC Of D'ire/ctor Laboratory

Wilmington

Los Angeles



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REQUESTED:01/12/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/17/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/17/90 PAGE 2 OF 2

	e ee ee ee ee oe de de de de de de	====	========			========	
ANALYS	S: MERCURY						
METHOD	<b>REFERENCE:</b>	EP	TOXICITY	EXTRACTION / EPA	3020/EPA	7470	
		===					

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19172-1	B211 122689/ B212 122689/ B213 122689	ND	mg / L	0.01

ND = NONE DETECTED

QA/QC:

RPD, %	<1
RECOVERY, %	93



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 1 OF 7

LAB NUMBER: 19371

CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES

**REPORT ON: 24 SOIL COMPOSITES** 

PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING PHASE II

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

Laborator

Wilmington

Los Angeles



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/25/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 2 OF 7

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

	LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
t					
	19371-	B221011690/	11	mg/Kg	1.0
í	1.2.3	B222011690/			
I	• •	B223011690			
	19371 -	B231011790/	2.2	mg / Kg	1.0
	4.5.6	B232011790/			
	.,.,-	B233011790			
	19371 -	B241011790/	1.3	mg/Kg	0.10
	7.8.9	B242011790/			
	.,0,1	B243011790			
	10271	D251011600/	0 46		0 10
	193/1-	D251011090/	0.40	mg/Kg	0.10
	10,11,12	D252011090/			
		B253011690			
	19371 -	B261011790/	0.80	mg / Kg	0.10
	13.14.15	B262011790/			
	,-,	B263011790			
	19371-	B271011790/	1.2	mg / Kg	0.10
	16 17 18	B272011790/			
1	10,17,10	B273011790			
	10271	B 2 8 1 0 1 1 7 0 0 /	0.20	malKa	0 10
	193/1-	D201U11/9U/	0.29	mg/kg	U.IU
	19,20,21	B282011790/			
		BZ83011790			



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/25/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 3 OF 7

***************************************	 ===========
ANALYSIS: MERCURY	
PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020	
METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471	

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19371- 22,23,24	B291011790/ B292011790/ B293011790	0.10	mg / Kg	0.10
19371- 25,26,27	B331011790/ B332011790/ B333011790	0.41	mg / Kg	0.10 -
19371- 28,29,30	B341011790/ B342011790/ B343011790/	7.0	mg / Kg	1.0
19371- 31,32,33	B301011990/ B302011990/ B303011990	4.4	mg / Kg	1.0
19371- 34,35,36	B311011990/ B312011990/ B313011990	6.7	mg / Kg	1.0
19371- 37,38,39	B321011990/ B322011990/ B323011990	1.4	mg / Kg	1.0
19371- 40,41,42	B371011990/ B372011990/ B373011990	0.73	mg / Kg	0.10

QA/QC:		
RPD, %		13
RECOVERY, %		99



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/25/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 4 OF 7

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19371-	<b>B</b> 381011990/	2.5	mg / Kg	1.0
43,44,45	B382011990/			
	B383011990			
19371-	B391011990/	6.7	mg / Kg	1.0
46,47,48	B392011990/			
	B393011990			
19371-	B451011990/	6.1	mg/Kg	1.0
49,50,51	B452011990/			
	B453011990			
19371-	B351011990/	3.0	mg/Kg	1.0
52,53,54	B352011990/			
	B353011990			
19371-	<b>B441011990</b> /	1.0	mg/Kg	0.10
55,56,57	B442011990/		0.0	
	B443011990			
19371-	B431011990/	1.6	mg/Kg	0.10
58.59.60	B432011990/			
	B433011990			
19371 -	B421011990/	0.16	mg / Kg	0.10
61.62.63	B422011990/	0120		
,,	B423011990			
19371-	<b>B411011990</b> /	0.34	mg / Kg	0.10
64.65.66	B412011990/	0.54		0.10
	B413011990			

=======================================	 
RPD, %	13
RECOVERY, %	99



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/25/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 5 OF 7

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19371-	<b>B</b> 401011990/	2.7	mg/Kg	1.0
67,68,69	B402011990/			
	B403011990			
19371-	B361011790/	0.23	mg/Kg	0.10
70,71,72	B362011790/			
	B363011790			

RPD, %	13
RECOVERY, %	99



.

LABORATORY NUMBER: 19371 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING PHASE II DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/31/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 6 OF 7

## ANALYSIS: SULFATE METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 300.0

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19371-	B221011690/	170	mg/Kg	70
1,2,3	B222011690/			
	B223011690			
19371-	B231011790/	230	mg/Kg	70
4,5,6	B232011790/			
	B233011790			
19371-	B241011790/	300	mg / Kg	70
7,8,9	B242011790/			
	B243011790			

QA / QC :			
		6	
RECOVERY, %		95	



DATE RECEIVED: 01/19/90 DATE ANALYZED: 01/31/90 DATE REPORTED: 01/31/90 PAGE 7 OF 7

ANALYSIS: NITRATE METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 300.0

· -

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19371-	<b>B371011990</b> /	18	ma / Ka	10
40,41,42	B372011990/	10	ing / ixg	10
	B373011990			
19371-	B391011990/	13	mg / Kg	10
40,47,40	B3920119907 B393011990			
19371 -	B421011990/	11	mg / Kg	10
61,62,63	B422011990/ B423011990			

QA/QC:			
RPD, %		<1	
RECOVERY, %		101	

i du as a intraking. I fai hand a long toral		, ANALYSIS	INEQUESIED
2323 Filth Street Berkeley, Callfornia 94710 (415) 486-0900 Samplers Romena Canac			303.5
Job Description CCRFS _ So, Doling Place 11			image: state sta
Job Number 3+A-UCRES-101			
Client Contact Roman Recorder Koman Jones		n	
Matrix     Method Preserved     Sample Number     Sampling Date       Image: Sample Sample Sample Number     Sample Sampling Date	28 \$01/8010 28 \$02/8020	28 524/9240 28 525/9270 401e 22 Metals 28 29 Metals	an and grease enzene-Toluer 11 and Grease 23 508/9080 3 Accury 7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
Laboratory Notes :			
Relinguished by: (signature ) [		Slody Hecc	
Remain a Data - Olli	3/07	TIACAIAE	io oy (signature)
Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ale/1 Ir	Necelve	d by (signature )
Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ale/Hr	Necelve	d by (signature )
Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/1 fr	Receive	d by (signature )
Uspatched by: (signature ) De	nte/Hr	Hacelva Norve	d for Lab by (signature)

•

cuttis & ivilipit	cins, Ltd		a <sub>ə</sub> . Jr ~	, ANALYS	
Berkeley, Callfornia 94710 (415) 486.0900 Job Description $U < K = 5$ . Job Number $T + A = U$ Client Contact $P = 0$	- Sona o	Samplers <u>K</u>	mena jonus		-Xylene (3) scicides \$ 203'
Malrix Harris Method Preserved 1 1 2 2 0 4 1 2 2 0 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sample Number	Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	28 601/8010 28 602/8020 28 524/8240 28 525/8270 28 525/8270 28 27 Metals (	29 Mechod- enzene-Toluene 11 and Grease 28 508/9080 25 NYTCUTL NUP
	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C Make ENe centrisi C Anhily Ze Blo Aur Cury: Re Bin Jurisiand Bomple S Stand 45 Classe		
Laboratory Notes :		•	Chain Relinquished by: (signature ) Komun Bris 01/1 Relinquished by: (signature )	ol Custody Re Date/Hr Rece 19/40 Date/Hr Rece	icord lived by (signature ) lived by (signature )
			Relinquished by: (signature ) Relinquished by: (signature ) Dispatched by: (signature ) D	Date/Hr Nece Date/Hr Nece Date/Hr Nece	elved by (signature ) elved by (signature ) elved for Lab by (signature )
	Laboratory Notes :	Lutitis $U$ turilipkins, Ltd Berkeley, California 94710 (115) 486.0900 Job Description $U \subset A = S = S = S = M = M = M$ Job Number $A = U \subset R = S$ Client Contact $R = S = M = M = M$ Matrix = Method = S = M = M = M = M Matrix = V = M = M = M = M = M = M = M = M = M	In the implicities, Ltd2223 Fills StreetSamplers, RBerkeley, California 94710Samplers, R(415) 486.0900Job Description $2 < A = 0 < R = 5$ Job NumberIf $A = 0 < R = 5$ Client ContactRomer and ReservedMatrixImage: PreservedImage: Second and ReservedSample NumberSampling DateImage: Second and ReservedMatrixImage: PreservedImage: Second and ReservedImage: Second and Re	Curl is $\vec{y}$ rumpkins, Lid2323 Fills SinelBarkely: California \$4710(115) 466-0800Job Description $\angle (A) = S = S = 1 \text{ birnin}$ , the ac $\mp I$ Job Number $\exists A = U \in R \neq S$ Client Contact $\Re D D e \pi e = S = 1 \text{ birnin}$ , the ac $\mp I$ Job Number $\exists A = U \in R \neq S$ Matrix $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample NumberSampling DateMatrix $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample NumberSampling DateMatrix $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample NumberSampling DateSample NumberSampling DateMatrix $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample NumberSampling DateSample Number $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample NumberSampling DateSample Number $\exists P \text{ reserved}$ Sample Number	Laboratory Notes :       Andress       Andress       Andress       Andress       Andress       Andress         Laboratory Notes :       Chain of Custody Ite       Andress       Chain of Custody Ite       Andress         Laboratory Notes :       Chain of Custody Ite       Chain of Custody Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite         Laboratory Notes :       Chain of Custody Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite       Custod Ite         Description // CATES = Sort Custod       Custod Ite       Cusod Ite<



	Lurtis & Townkins Itd   Ch O. Sut.	.dy . ərm	, ANALYSIS NEQUESTED
	2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900	mena Jima p	5 m 10 r
	Job Description we KFS - Sul willing share JI		
	Client Contact Recorder K	67. en Jona	1138 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	Method Preserved Sample Number Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	A 501/8010 A 502/8020 A 524/8240 A 525/8270 A 525/8270 CLe 22 Metalu S 99 Metalu F 200/9080 D 608/9080 D 608/9080
		ALAKA SHI COMPULIE	
334 2	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Analyze for Merci	, -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -
B30 (1)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C Retain atisinalo	X
	Laboratory Notes :	Chain	of Custody Record
		Rollinguistied by: (signature)	Date/Hr Received by (signature )
		Relinquished by: (signature ) (	Date/Hr Received by (signature )
•		Relinquished by: (signature ) (	Date/Hr Necelved by (signature )
		Relinquished by: (signature ) (	Date/Hr Received by (signature )
		Dispatched by: (signature ) D	hate/lir Received for Lab by (signature)
		-	

		Curtis 2323 Filth Berkeley,	Street Callfornia 94710	cins, Ltd	Samplers <u>Ro</u>	Mener Jonna			
		Job Descrip Job Number Client Conta	$\frac{UCRFS}{S+A-s}$	- Sul boring UCRFS	Flower II Recorder <u>Ro</u>	nuna Sona		1s ) (* ) ne-Xylene(s) Pesticides f	
		Matrix	Method Preserved 1703 2006 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007	Sample Number	Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020 EPA 624/8240	22A 52/52/0 22A 22 Metals 22A 29 Metals 22A 508/8080 360/8080 MUJCUVU	
32.	31			$\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	90011909:45 9001909:45	Analyze for Wercury one Composite Instancisinal			
337 337	5 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2} \\ \mathbf{x}_{3} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{y}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ \mathbf{z}_{1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{2} \\ z$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1$	Anitysis Sance- 40 Albov			
		Laboratory	Notes :	• Auge Long Long Long Long Long Long		Chain Ielinquished by: (signature ) ( או א מאונען איינערער Ielinquished by: (signature ) (	of Custor	dy Record Received by (signature ) Received by (signature )	
					R	ielinquished by: (signature ) [	Date/Hr	Received by (signature )	
					, R	tellnquished by: (signature ) ( Dispatched by: (signature ) D	Date/Hr ate/Hr	Received by (signature ) Received for Lab by (signal Withen Within	ilure ) \ \

2 Shand Or cuscody corne Samplers Romena Jone KF5\_Swittering Plansett\_\_\_\_\_ · · ANALYSIS REQUESTED Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd 2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 E D C (415) 486-0900 Luene-Xylene(s) Job Description Job Number 574 /t 10 Client Contact Romena 1 1-87200 Grease le 22 Metals 29 Metals and Greas 608/8080 601/8010 602/8020 624/8240 625/8270 Method ners Method-Matrix Sample Number Sampling Date Preserved Benzene-SAMPLE NOTES #Concal H 250 4 H NO3 I Ce None Other Wast Wate EPA EPA Titi Titi EPA Soi 5 Time Yr Mo Dy 64 Composile. 1:3h B39 and Analy Ze 6 or Mercury -z'composite 845 突 and anityzi ACZ ALLICUTY 5Ì 57 B35 5 3 ĩ. 2 3 2 Chain of Custody Record Laboratory Notes : Relinguished by (signature ) Date/Hr Received by (signature) Vijas 01 KGMENA-Relinquished by: (signature ) Date/Hr Received by (signature) Relinguished by: (signature ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) Relinguished by: (signature ) Date/Hr Received by (signature) Received for Lab by (signature ) Dispatched by: (signature ) Date/Hr Nerney webay 1

		Chain of Custod	ly Form 👘 🔽	, . <b>.</b>	LYSIS REQUESTED
	Curtis & Iompkins, Ltd 2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900 Job Description $U: RF-5 - Soil box Job Number S \neq A - VCRF-5 - IoClient Contact Portugat Priva$	Samplers <u>Roz</u> <u>(17)</u> Phose II Recorder <u>Ro</u>	mena Jone		(* ) ne-Xylene(s) esticides 5 2C3's イブリ
	Matrix Matrix Matrix Matrix Method Me	ber Sampling Date Yr Mo Dy Time	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020 EPA 624/8240 EPA 625/8270 Title 22 Meta	EPA 2P Metals TPH Method- Benzene-Toluer Oil and Grease EPA 608/8080 5 MU/C U7 7
679 55	X (*101/9	9090011901:15	1-3' Composite		
574 /1º		90011901:15	- Mercury		X
57 13		700/110119	K	╼┠╼┠╼╂╼╂	┼╌┼╌┼╌┼╌┼╌┼╌┼
B43 (s			11		
w	X V Z	11 11			
12 1.2 61		4-3-/5			
1392 Ju				┝╾╂╾╂╼╂╼╂╼	┼┼┼┼┼┦┦┽┼┼╴
63	<mark>┝┤<sup>╳</sup>│-┼-┼-┼-┼-┼<sup>┥╳</sup>│-┼-╽<sup>╱</sup>┼┦-┼</mark> ╶┼╴		/	╾┨╾┨╼┨╼╉╼	<del>┨╌┨╌┨╌┨╌┨╌┨╶┨╶┨</del>
	Laboratory Noton		Chain o	of Custody	Record
			Relinguished by: (signature ) Dat		leceived by (signature )
		•	Romena Imas of/	19/91	, , , , , ,
		ſ	Relinquished by (signature ) Dat	te/Hr A	lecelved by (signature )
		F	Relinquished by: (signature ) Dai	itø/Hr Fi	leceived by (signature )
			Relinquished by: (signature ) Da	ite/Hr Fi	Received by (signature )
			Dispatched by: (signature ) Date	le/Hr F	neceived for Lab by (signature )
	<b></b>				1

.

- IL ..... 1999 , ANALYSIS NEQUESTED U. ... v . Jhipkills, Llu 2323 Filth Street Samplers Ronwna Berkeley. Callfornia 94710 E C C (415) 486-0900 horing Phace IT Job Description UCRFS- Sevi Lene (s) Job Number StA - UCRFS Recorder Romanne Jone Konwine OTTAD Client Contact-Toluen Method 1675 Kera Matrix 602/8020 9240 9080 601/9010 Yeral Grea: 625/8270 Preserved Sample Number Sampling Date JOURS COL SAMPLE NOTES 1805 And PC3DC. Wace Soil Wast HO3 HO3 Ice None č E2A E2A E2A E2A E2A E2A E2A C2A Yr Mo Dy Time 3en: 110 1 -3'conposite 3 64 Any/yze. 1502 RETAIN THE CONSI 3 50 1340 1 1336 υ Ü ð Û Laboratory Notes : Chain of Custody Record Relinquished by: (signature ) Date/Lir Neceived by (signature ) Contona Torne C. Relinquished by: (signature ) Date/i ir Received by (signature) Relinquished by: (signature ) Date/Hr Received by (signature ) Relinquished by: (signature ) Date/I ir Received by (signature) Dispatched by: (signature ) Date/Hr Received for Lab by (signature ) Nonce Wer



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REPORTED: 02/28/90 PAGE 1 OF 4

LAB NUMBER: 19595

E

CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES

REPORT ON: 4 SOIL COMPOSITE SAMPLES

PROJECT #: J&A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

QA/QC Officer i vriten

Director Laborstor

Wilmington



1

1

DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REQUESTED:02/15/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/19/90 DATE REPORTED: 02/28/90 PAGE 2 OF 4

ANALYSIS: COPPER PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3050 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 6010

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19595-1	COMPOSITE B-18:	57	mg / Kg	1.0
	B181122689 B182122689			
	B183122689			•
19595-2	COMPOSITE B-12:	53	mg / Kg	1.0
	B123122689			200
	B122122689			
	B121122689			
19595-3	COMPOSITE B-38:	200	mg / Kg	1.0
	B381011990		0, 0	
	B382011990			
	B383011990			
19595-4	COMPOSITE B-39:	160	mg / Kg	1.0
	B391011990		0 0	
	B392011990			
	B393011990			

RPD, %	16
RECOVERY, %	77
=======================================	



DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REQUESTED:02/15/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/19/90 DATE REPORTED: 02/28/90 PAGE 3 OF 4

ANALYSIS: ZINC	
PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3050	
METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 6010	

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19595-1	COMPOSITE B-18:	640	mg / Kg	0.50
	B181122689			
	B182122689			
	B183122689			•
19595-2	COMPOSITE B-12:	65	mg/Kg	0.50
	B123122689		0 0	
	B122122689			
	B121122689			
19595-3	COMPOSITE B-38:	170	mg/Kg	0.50
	B381011990		0 0	
	B382011990			
	B383011990			
19595-4	COMPOSITE B-39:	180	mg/Kg	0.50
	B391011990			
	B392011990			
	B393011990			

		 	=======================================
RPD, %		3	
RECOVERY, %		77	
	=======================================	 	=======================================



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19595 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES JOB #: J & A-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS-SOIL BORING

p.....

DATE RECEIVED: 12/27/89 DATE REQUESTED:02/15/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/26/90 DATE REPORTED: 02/28/90 PAGE 4 OF 4

Analysis: Soluble Mercury Extraction: Waste Extraction Test (WET): CCR Title 26 Section 22-66700 Analysis Method: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19595-1	COMPOSITE B-18	: 1.3	mg / L	0.10
	B181122689			
	B182122689			
	B183122689			

QA/QC:			
RPD, %		<1	
RECOVERY, %		92	

Curtis & Tompking 1td	Chain of Custody Form	
2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900 Job Description <u>UCRFS-So, bo</u> Job Number <u>StA-UCRFS-10</u> Client Contact <u>Romena</u> Jonas	Becorder Roman Jomas Recorder Romans Jonas	<pre># )</pre>
Matrix Matrix Method Preserved Sample Num 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 0 4 4 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	Der Sampling Date Yr Mo Dy Time	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020 EPA 622/8020 EPA 625/8270 Tttle 22 Metals ( Tttle 22 Metals ( Tth Method- Benzene-Toluene Benzene-Toluene EPA 608/8080 Pe
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8 9 29 1 2 2 69 : 2 2 Make il composite 8 9 29 1 2 2 69 : 2 2 Make il composite 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 69 : 2 2 Composite The 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 0 0 0 Tetrin The of sin al 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 0 0 0 Tetrin The of sin al 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 : 0 0 - 50 me as above 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 : 0 0 - 50 me as above 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 : 2 5 - 50 me as above 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 : 2 5 - 50 me as above 8 9 8 9 1 2 2 6 10 : 2 5 - 50 me as above	
Laboratory Notes :	Z I Z Z Z IO	of Custody Record ate/Hr Received by (signature ) /89 ate/Hr Received by (signature )
	Relinquished by: (signature ) Da Relinquished by: (signature ) Da Dispatched by: (signature ) Dat	ate/Hr Received by (signature ) ate/Hr Received by (signature ) Re/Hr Received for tab by (signature )

Curtis & Tompkins, 1td	Chain of Custody Form	ANALYSIS REQUESTED
2323 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900	Samplers Romana Jonas	ອ ອ ອ ບັນ ດ.
lob Description UCRES_Soil bar	<u></u>	
ob Number <u>J+A- UCRFS-10</u> lient Contact <u>Romena</u> Jonan	Recorder Roman Jonas	11s (* ) (* ) 16-Xylene esticide
Matrix Method Preserved Sample Number Sample Number Sample Number	r Sampling Date SAMPLE NOTES	601/8010 602/8020 622/8240 625/8270 1e 22 Metals PP Metals Method- zene-Toluer and Grease 608/8080 7
	Yr Mo Dy Time	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA OOIL
1	4 8 9 1 2 26 10 : 50 Party Previous	
	979122611 210 Make. Composite of	$\left  - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + $
1 X B 3 1 Z 2 G 9	9 59 17 ZC 11 : 1 al RTain The original sound	┠┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┡┥┼┼┼
1 86112268	ELEGIZZEN: 30 For EURON Analysis	╝ <mark>╾┼╴┽╺┼╶┽╶┽╶┽╶┽╶┽╶┥╴┤</mark>
X 84212268	9 8 9 1 2 26 11: 30 Same as	
	9 89 1 2 2 C 11: 3 > 900 me	
	7 8 9 1 2 2 11 - 4 5 Same as	$\left  + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +$
1 8/312268	9 9 12 26 11 24 3	<b>├</b> <del>╎</del> <b>╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎╎</b>
aboratory Notes :	Chain	of Custody Record
	Relinquished by: (signature ) D	Date/Hr Received by (signature )
	Romana tomas 12/2	27/89
	Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/Hr Received by (signature )
	Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/Hr Received by (signature )
	Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/Hr Received by (signature )
	Dispatched by: (signature ) Da	nte/Hr Received for Lab by (signature )

Curtis 2323 Filth Berkeley, C	& Tompkin	be Itd	Unain of L	101211	III FORM								
2323 Filth Berkeley, C	στυπρκη			us loc	iy i 01111		-	ANA	LYSIS	REQUE	STED		
(415) 486-	Street Salifornia 94710 0900	13, Llu	Sampl	ers <u>Ron</u>	nena Jonas						PCB's		
Job Descript Job Number	ion <u>UCRFS-5</u> <u>StA-UC</u> Romann	Soil borin RFS-18 Junas	) j] Record	der Ro	mene Amas				-	-Xvlene(s)	rticides 6		
Matrix	Method Preserved Courtine Preserved Courtine Cou	ample Numb	er Sampling	Date	SAMPLE NOTES	PA 601/8010	PA 624/8240	PA 625/8270 1tle 22 Metals	PA PP Metals (# PH Method-	enzene-Toluene- il and Grease	PA 608/9080 2es		
	0       2       1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 9       2 1       1       2       2       2         3 9       8 1       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9       8 9       1       2       2       2         8 9	1 2: 1 5 1 2: 1 5 1 2: 4 6 1 2: 4 6 1 2: 4 6 1 2: 4 6 1 2: 5 5 1 2: 5 5	Meke a composite sin mole of These Three. Angly 20 Bu Comprestic Exc Marcu Retain The original Some as above Bane as above								
Laboratory N	Votes :		<u></u>		Chain Relinquished by: (signature )	of C	usto	dy F		rd 3 by (s	signat	JII	
				F	Kanura Anas 12, Ielinquished by: (signature ) Ielinquished by: (signature )	Date/Hr Date/Hr	89	Re	celvec	iby (	signat signat	лө) Лө)	
					lelinguished by: (signature )	Date/Hi		Re	celver	iby (	signat	Jre )	

		C   23	23	ti	S I	<b>D</b> r	T	DП	np	k	in	s,	, L	. t	d			h	<b>a</b> i	in	0	ſ	Cu	15	to R	d	y Form	F				NAL	YSI	S RE		EST s	ED		7
		Вө (4	rkel 15)	өу, 486	Call 3-09	lorr 00	nla	947	710					1							Sa	mp	ner	s_			Marin Orthe									PC2			
C J J	Job Iob Clie	D N nt	)es um C	crip ber ont	otio	in_ 		< R 	KF U	5- CF	S R E	ion S C	- 201	<u>Б</u> 10 14		in	2				Re	900	rde		R	17	una finas					ls	~ <b>*</b> >	(s) and [x]		Pesticides &	12FZ You		
5	oil	aste	tri:	<	Containers	2004	Me Pre	ser ser	bev oue	ther	Sa	Imp	ole	N	UΠ	nbe	er		S	an	npli	ing	Da	ate Tir			SAMPLE NOTES	PA 601/8010	PA 602/8020	PA 624/8240	PA 625/8270	Itle 22 Meta	PA PP Metals	PH Method-	Succession of the second secon	PA 608/8080	Prove - Mei		
41	ž ŭ	3	0		-		:  <u> </u>	X	Ż	o I	BI	11	17		24	38	9	Ŕ	9		0 8	2	打	•	1	5	Samed ao	14	ាំ	H	E	H	ដ	1 d	ă č		<u>s</u>	-	-
· · · , _	1							X			B11	21	2	2	2 6	8	19	8	9	Ī	2	2(	<u>.</u>	!	1	5	before		Ţ					_	1	1	X		
	1						+-	X			B14	5			44	8	49	8 2	4	1	2	2		•	3	28													_
12	1					-	1	X	1	_	BIL	2	12	2	26	8	9	8	9	1	2	20	61	••	3	8	) same no before		-			_		_			K		-
- 31				┠─╂		+-	+	X		-	<u>813</u> 813	<b>7</b>			26		19	8	9	1	C Z		41	••••	3 4	<u>د</u> 5								+		+	┢		
135	-þ	1-					1	X	1		813	2	1	╀	Ł	4					2	_	-	1	4		Some as before			-				-		T	k		[
55	$\uparrow$	+					+-	X	4-	-	<u>, 1</u>	2	+-		Ŧ	1					4			1	$\leq$		(										-		ſ
													<u> </u>	1																									ļ
	La	00	rate	ory	No	tes	s :																				Chain	l of	C	ust	ody	F	lec	ord					-
																				•						R	elinquished by: (signature )	Date	)Hr Ior	7		Re	celv	ved I	by	(sig	natu	rø)	
																										R	elinquished by: (signature )	Z // Date	/Hr	Z		Re	ceiv	ed l	by	(sig	natu	re )	
																										R	elinquished by: (signature )	Date	o/Hr			Re	celv	ved l	by	(slg	natu	re)	-
																										R	elinquished by: (signature )	Date	o/Hr	+		Re	celv	ed l	by	(sig	natu	rø)	
																										D	ispatched by: (signature ) [	)ate	'Hr		la	Re	celv U	red I	lor L	ab M	by 12	(slgi z7/	

Curtis & Tompkins Itd	hain of Custo	ody Form		ANALYSIS REQUESTED			
2323 Filth Street Berkeley, California 94710 (415) 486-0900 Job Description <u>UCRES - Soil boring</u> Job Number <u>J+A - UCRES - 101</u> Client Contact <u>Romana</u> <u>Sonab</u>	Samplers <u>R</u>	omena Abras		.s (* ) ie-Xylene(s) esticides & PCB's			
Matrix Kare Matrix Concaro Mone Other Mone Mone Method Matrix Method Matrix Method Matrix Method Matrix Method Matrix Method Matrix Mat	Sampling Date Yr Mo Dy Time	SAMPLE NOTES	EPA 601/8010 EPA 602/8020	EFA 524/9240 EFA 625/8270 EFA PP Metals TPH Method- Benzene-Toluer 011 and Grease EFA 608/8080 F			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5 Same 20 before (Pag 3) 0 Sana ao page 3 0 June ao page 3					
Laboratory Notes :	•	Chain Relinquished by: (signature ) [ Romenni final 12, Relinquished by: (signature ) [ Relinquished by: (signature ) [	of Cus Date/Hr /27/89 Date/Hr Date/Hr	tody Record Received by (signatur Received by (signatur Received by (signatur	re) re)		
		Relinquished by: (signature ) [ Dispatched by: (signature ) D	Date/Hr Date/Hr	Received by (signatur	rø ) (signature ) [2/27/81		
Curt	is & Tompki	ins, Ltd C	chain of Cust	ody Form		ANALYSIS REQU	JESTED
---	---	-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--
2323 Fil Berkeley (415) 4 Job Desci Job Numbe	th Street , Callfornia 94710 86-0900 iption_ <u>VC.RFS</u> er_ <u>J+A-UCR</u>	- Soil boring FS-loj	Samplers_k	omeno-fond s		) Ylene (s)	1cides \$ PC3's
Client Cor Matrix	Method Preserved	Sample Number	Sampling Date	SAMPLE NOTES	<pre>601/8010 602/8020 622/8020 624/8240</pre>	<pre>( 625/8270 ( 625/8270 ) ( PP Metals ( # Method-</pre>	and Grease 608/8080 Pest er Cury - Mr Mo
14 17 17 17 1 17 1			Yr Mo Dy Tim 8912263: 777777777777777777777777777777777777	c Jame a page 3	25   	日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日 日日	
				Jame ao Page 3 Same ao Page 3			
				5 Some as page 3			
Laboratory	/ Notes :		•	Relinquished by: (signature ) Romano Janao 12, Relinquished by: (signature )	Date/Hr /21/89 Date/Hr	Received by	(signature ) (signature )
				Relinquished by: (signature ) Relinquished by: (signature )	Date/Hr Date/Hr	Received by	(signature )
				Dispatched by: (signature )	Date/Hr	Received for	Lab by (signature

		C 23 Be (4	<b>U</b> 23 ork 15	)	<b>ti</b> 1111 149 48	S 1 C 6-	Str all 09	ee Ior	et rnl	Г ( а )	<b>) </b> 94	<b>N</b> 71	0 0	k		n	5	<b>y</b>	L	.t	d			l	<u>,</u> [	] (			S	O	T mp	L ole	U ers	s B	(0)	n M	iy end		<b>11</b> 30	n nax	<u>)</u>		T				NAL	YS	ISR	EQL	JES	STEL			
Jo Jol Cli	b b ie	I N nt	De un	so nb Cc	ori Del	pt	io 	n_ <u>5</u> - 	1 R	A	C - m	8 U 12		r < R	È-	s ,	<u> </u>	<u>کر</u> ک	رد 0 10		F	00	<u></u>	`n	<u>ک</u>				F	Re	co	orc	ler	- -	Ro	m	wn	s	f	Ma		-					ls	*		ne-Xylene (s)		Pesticides &	1 -001/201		
ater	bil -	ste M	atr	ix !			containers		2304 A	Me res	ser	od ve	bo	ther	5	Sa TX	m T		0 R	N 3.	lui )	nl Te	)0	r			s	ar	np	oli	ng		Dal	te			ę	AM	PLE	e No	TES		A 601/8010	A 602/8020	A 624/8240	A 625/8270	tle 22 Meta	A PP Metals	H Method-	enzene-Tolue	I and Greas	A 608/8080	- LINIA		
N N	Xs	N.	č	\$ 			•		<b>Ľ</b> ;	Ĩ			z	ŏ		E	Ŧ		6	,3 行	了 引	-		-	8		1-	N	10	1		đ				-							Ц Ц	岀	<u>а</u>	Ц Ц	ii ii	Ц Ш	H H	B	0	E	< 	+-	
	1				_						X			_	87		3	$\overline{1}$	2		2	6	8	9	8		9			2	24	Ş	4 2	•	3	50		ion.	-	pa	ye 3		_												
															2 22		2																		2	-	$\geq$	30	n	. ao 3	paye														
					_													-																																					
					-			+			ł					ł	+			+					$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{1}$		Ŀ	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	+															_								$\pm$	ł
L	at	00	ra	to	ry	N	lo	le	S	:												Ever (11)N											-								Cha	in c	)f (	Cu	sto	dy	R	ec	or	d					
																												•								R		ulshe Len	ed b معرف	y: (sig Jo y: (sig	inature 140 ) nature	) Da Z/Z	10/1 27/	+1  8 <sup>2</sup>  1			Re	cel	bev	by	(3)	gna	ture	)	
																																				R	lelinc	ulshe	d b	y: (sig	nature	) Da	te/ł	 	-		Re	celv	ved	by	(si	gna	ture	)	
																																				R	Relinc	ulshe	d b	oy: (slg	inature	) Da	tø/ł	łr			Re	cel	ved	by	(si	gna	ture	)	
																																				D	Dispa	ched	by	r: (slgi	nature )	Date	e/H	1	A	n	Re W	ceiv		- Fe	Lat N	5 by	(s)	lgna 44	ilur

•

	2323 Fifth Street, E	Berkeley, CA 9471O, P	hone (415) 486-0900	
LABORATO CLIENT: PROJECT LOCATION	RY NUMBER: 19172 JONAS AND ASSOCIATES #: J&A-UCRFS-101 : UCRFS-SOIL BORING		DATE RECEIVED: DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:	01/12/90 02/01/90 02/02/90
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	NITRATE EPA 300.0 mg/Kg	SULFATE EPA 300.0 mg/Kg	
19172 - 1	B211 122689/ B212 122689/ B213 122689	4 4	5.9	
QA/QC:				
NITRATE Sulfate		RPD, % 1 <1	RECOVERY, % 94 99	

l QA/QC OFFICER 1.le 1 LABORATORY DIRECTOR

Wilmington

Los Angeles

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (415) 486-0900

DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/05/90 PAGE 1 OF 4

LAB NUMBER: 19638

CLIENT: JONAS AND ASSOCIATES

REPORT ON: 10 SOIL SAMPLES & 4 SOIL COMPOSITE SAMPLES

PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

QA/QC Officer Laboratory Director

Wilmington



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19638 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

1

DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/27/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/05/90 PAGE 2 OF 4

ANALYSIS PREPARAT METHOD R	EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE	0 5 0 0			
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT	
19638-16	SS4-B18-021990	140	mg / Kg	1.0	
19638-21	SS9-B39-021990	110	mg / Kg	1.0	

QA/QC:	
RPD, %	<1
RECOVERY, %	108
22222222222222222222222222222	



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19638 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/27/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/05/90 PAGE 3 OF 4

ANALYSIS PREPARAT METHOD R	: ZINC ION METHOD: EPA 3 EFERENCE: EPA 601 ==================	050 0			
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT	
19638-16	SS4-B18-021990	270	mg / Kg	0.50	
19638-21	SS9-B39-021990	150	mg / Kg	0.50	

QA/QC: RPD, % RECOVERY, % 106



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19638 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

P ......

DATE RECEIVED: 02/21/90 DATE ANALYZED: 02/27/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/05/90 PAGE 4 OF 4

ANALYSIS: MERCURY PREPARATION METHOD: EPA 3020 METHOD REFERENCE: EPA 7471

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19638-13	SS1-B6-021990	3.9	mg /Kg	1.0
19638-14	SS2-B3-021990	4.7	mg/Kg	1.0
19638-15	SS3-B12-021990	90	mg/Kg	10
19638-16	SS4-B18-021990	240	mg/Kg	20
19638-17	SS5-B16-021990	24	mg/Kg	10
19638-18	SS6-B20-021990	1.2	mg/Kg	0.10
19638-19	SS7-B10-021990	21	mg/Kg	10
19638-20	SS8-B37-021990	13	mg / Kg	10
19638-21	SS9-B39-021990	1.1	mg/Kg	1.0
19638-22	SS10-B43-021990	0.92	mg/Kg	0.10
19638-23	COMPOSITE B46:	14	mg/Kg	1.0
	B46-1021990			
	B46-2021990			
	B46-3021990	•		
19638-24	COMPOSITE B47:	4.7	mg/Kg	1.0
	B47-1021990		0 0	
	B47-2021990			
	B47-3021990			
19638-25	COMPOSITE B48:	0.41	mg/Kg	0.10
	B48-1021990		0 0	
	B48-2021990			
	B48-3021990			
19638-26	COMPOSITE B49:	0.32	mg / Kg	0.10
	B49-1021990			
	<b>B49-2021990</b>			
	B49-3021990			

QA/QC:			
RPD, %		9	
RECOVERY, %		105	
=======================================	 		

	nr	3	,		1	. it	16	1	t di		į	ر			)	14	ι	-	0	U 、	0. jqk.						SISI	<u>ILU</u>	ESI	EU	1-1		-1
	2323 Filih Berkeley, C (415) 486-	Strø Callic 090	et Innla D	947	10		,	<b>L</b> .,	· u	-					Sa	amp	lei	rs	<u>R</u> -		Jonas 1087								5, EDć				
	Job Descrip	lion	U	CF	21	=5	Ì						-															(n 0	5				
	Job Number_	1	1_	UC	R	ES		0										-	_		-					-		1.160	1 1 1 0				
	Client Conta	ict-	(In	(R	1 <del>5-</del> 5	R	<u>c</u> .n	<u>l£r</u>	10		<u>J0</u>	n	25		R	eco	ord	er _	<u>R -</u>		Langs							- Y- BUG	6 0 0 0 0 0 0	74			
	Matrix	ainers	N Pro	lethoo eserv	H H	S	amp	le	Nu	mb	er			Sa	mp	ling	D	ate			SAMPLE NOTES	0108/10	02/8020	24/9240	25/8270	o Yerals	porta	ne-Tolue	nd Gread	7.07			
	Ware: Soil Waste Oil	‡Conc	H 230		None								Yr	N	Љ	Dy	/	Tir	110	-		EPA 3	EPA 6	EPA 5	E2.4 6		N H CL	Benza	011 a	J MV			
	X			X	X	134	10	2	2	2	70	9	0	20	Z	1	1	1	30	2	Make one composite						T	$\Box$			1		
B46 /	<u> </u>			_X	Ж.	- 2 <sup>1</sup> /2	20	2	11	9	90	2/2	0	þ	Z		1	1	30	3	Mercury. Retain	-		- -	┝┼			┨╌┨		$\mathbb{M}$	+	+	-
				-	Å.	- 4 4	30	2		9	90	$\frac{1}{2}$	70		2		<u>-</u> ]		50	2	Analysia	-		-	$\left  - \right $			┼╌┨		-1-1	+		
R47				X	M	- É	Z			7	1				1	Ż	1	Ì	2		JSame ao above	E								$\mathbf{X}$			
				_X	XI.	- S	3		Ļ	4		-			12	Ł	-	13	Ł						┼╌┼		- -						
B4B	$\left  \frac{1}{2} \right $		┠─┼	- <del> </del> }	$\mathbb{X}$	- 92 °2	$\frac{1}{2}$	4		i	7	2			14	╎┤	1	1	0	2	Some anapping	+			$\left  \right $		- -	·			+	+-	
				X	Ĭ.	54E	3			1/							4																
	$\left[ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - $		┨─┤		et 1	)	┝╌┠╸			-			+	+			+		┼╌┞	_		-	+-	+-	$\left\{ - \right\}$	_							$\left  - \right $
	Laboratory	Nol	es	:																	Chain	of	С	usl	ody	R	ecc	ord					
																				R	elinquished by: (elgnature ) l	Dale	9/1 Ir	T		Nec	elve	id by	(3)	gnatu	( 01		
																				1	(omena ones 2/20/	20	2:2	ষ্ঠ	-								
																				Re	elinquished by: (signature ) [	Date	o/Hr			Rec	elve	d by	(sl	gnalu	r0 )		
																				Re	elinguished by: (signature ) (	Dale	o/Hr			fløc	elve	od by	(s)	gnalu	( 01		
																				R	elinquished by: (signature ) l	Dale	9/1 lr			Nec	elvr	nd by	(9)	gnatu	10)		
							-													D	lspatched by: (signature ) D	)alo/	/1 Ir		K	nec pl	elve U	id lo	r Lat	, by E <i>ft</i>	(slgr Z	naturi )	<b>,</b> )

	і Сигая в тотрк	cins, Lta	<b>]</b>	ll	·		· ANALYSIS	NEQUESIED
	2323 Filih Street Berkeley, Callfornia 94710 (415) 486-0900		Sample	rs <u>R</u>	Jonas			<b>.</b>
	Job Description 11CRE	- 8						n
	Job Number TA- UCRE	5-101						
	Client Control Page 201	- <u>5-101</u>		$\mathcal{D}$	<b>T</b>			C14 C14
	Cilent Contact INCOMPANY	Sonas	Hecord	er <u>K</u>	· Jonas		, ÷	X-
	Matrix Wethod Preserved	Sample Number	Sampling D	ate	SAMPLE NOTES	1/8010 2/8020	1/8240 1/8270 22 Metal Metals	
	Wate: Soil Waste Vaste 4Cont #2504 HO3 Lce Sone Cther		Yr Mo Dy	Time	-	PA 50	28 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	A 508
849		<u>1021990</u>	900219	123	5 Cumpas, Te 1'-3' analyze por	<u>ш</u> ш		
551 86				╉╼╂╼╂	For Future Analys		<b>-}-}-}-</b>	<u> </u>
552-R3 112199-		50021990	9002190	0100	0			
53-B12-421170-	- <del>[]</del> -[]- - - -  <u>- </u> ,[]]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-[]-	500 2000	200210		2			
554-Bi8-021990		5021990	900219	<u> </u>	7			
SS 5-B16-(21980-		58021990	9002190		7			- - -
556-B20-021990-		5021990	9002190	市五	7	-++	╾┨╾╂╾╂╼┠╸	- - - ()- -
SS7- B10.0219/0-	- <del>                                    </del>	Sec 21990	9002190	2/32	2		╾┨╼┠╼╂╼┠╼	┼╌┤╌┞╲┫╌┞╌┨╌┞╴╿
-021110-		20021990	9003190	13	\$			
	Laboratory Notes :		•		Chain	ol Cus	slody Reco	rd
	E .		•		Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ale/Hr	Received	d by (signature )
					Kommentones; 2/20/90	12:5	<b> </b>	
					Relinguistied by: (signature ) D	ale/Hr	Received	f by (signature )
•					Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/Hr	Necelvec	l by (signature )
					Relinquished by: (signature ) D	ate/Hr	Necelvec	l by (algnature )
					Dispatched by: (signature ) Da	10/1 lr	necelved BULY V	for Lab by (signature )

				i ,	<u>n</u>		-4				F		<u>ا</u>			- L		-	71		ат 1	ر ``	1		2	ι.	U N	-	11			<u></u>	<u>Liş</u>	ils r		UE	<u>sier</u>	<u>,</u>	+1			
	2: B (4	323 erkel 115)	C . Filil løy, 48		U 1991 Ifori 100	t nia	947	10	) K		3,	L		J						Sa	amp	ole	ərs	R		7 /	Sanavo 3003										s.EDd					
	Job	Des	cri	ptic	on_	UC	-	<u>C</u> F	:5	5								-																11			5					
	Job N	lum	ber		<u>s A</u>		UC	R	E	S	-)	0)						-							~								-		8			Ŋ				
	Clien	I C	on	lac	l	R	01	ma	n	2		50	n	15						R	eco	orc	der		<u>K</u>		Jonaio					5	÷		7X - 80	e	1250	77				
	M	atri	x	01.00	n-101	Me Pre	etho serv	d vød		Sa	ımp	ole	N	um	be	r		S	San	np	ling	, [	Dal	lø		T	OAND PNOTFO	01007	/ 3020	/9240	/8270	2 Meta	Yecals	-por	-10116	Greas	19080	27	Jaj			
	lacer soll	1435 E				722 222	90	lone	Jener								Y	/r	Гм	b	D	v		im	0	-	SAMPLE NOTES		106 A52	PA 524	2A 525	0	oc Yca	Lak Her	Benzane	Dil and	12A 508	W2 CC	200	2-10		
9-B 3(1021990	3 61	8 0	┼╾┤	-†	-	+-	X	~	Ŭ	30	50	Z	17	17	9	0	9	6	0	Z	1	9	0	14	y k	7		1	1	1	1	1-	f	f	-	P	H	Ŕ	怸	オ	+	
0-13-021910	X						K			à c	30	2	-1	h	9	0	9	0	0	2	1	9	0	1	5	<u>d</u>							L	L				Z	1	1		
						_	1_			_			-	-	_	_	_		-	-	$\left  - \right $	_		_	_	_		┨┠		_ _		+-		+-			_	-	_	╞	- -	-
			+-				╋	-	-	-		╋		+-	-	-	-	-	-			-		-	+	-		┨┠	+-	╉	-	╋	╋	+-		┝	H		+	╋	+-	-
	╏╼┤╼┽				-		╉					+	- -	1-	┢	┢		-	+	-		-		-	╉	-					- -	1-	+	$\uparrow$	$\mathbf{T}$	-	H		+	+	1-	-
																										_					T	T	L	T	L	E			T	1		
	┨╼┼╼┼				_						_	-				-			-	-		_			_	_		┨┠					+-	+-		┡	$\left  - \right $		+	+	- -	-
	┠╼┼╼┼					+-	+-			-		+			╋	┼─	-	-	╂─	-	-	-				-		┨┠		╉		╋		╋	╋		$\left  - \right $	-		╋	╋	-
					1	1	1	1				土	1			E	t		1	L					1			][	1		1	土	上	土	上	上			口	土		•
	Labo	oral	ory	N	ole	s :														``					1		Chai	n o		us	lod	Jy	Re		nd.					-		
																										R	tellnquished by: (signature )	Da	10/11	1		Π	leci	elve	d b	y (	slgn	alu	10)			
																			·							R	mina tonasi z 1201	20,	<b>Z:</b>	5										-		
																										R	lelinquisited by: (signature )	Dal	10/1 li			Π	lece	əlvə	d by	y (	slgn	atu	9)			
																										R	telinquished by: (signature )	Da	le/11	,		П	190	elve	id b	y (	sign	alu	(0)			-
																										R	telinquished by: (signature )	) Da	10/11	r		F	100	elve	iq p	y l	(algr	nəlv	( 81			-
																										D	Dispatched by: (signature )	Dat	e/1 Ir		ġ	- Ea		elve F7	id k	».L		by Él	(sigi	nalı	<b>119</b> )	

Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analyfical Laboratories, Since 1878 2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (415) 486-0900

2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (415) 486-0900

DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/08/90 PAGE 1 OF 4

LAB NUMBER: 19697

CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES

**REPORT ON: 4 SOIL COMPOSITES & 1 WATER SAMPLE** 

PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

騊

**RESULTS: SEE ATTACHED** 

Aten f QA/QC Officer Laboratory Director

Wilmington

Los Angeles



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19697 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/90 DATE ANALYZED: 03/06/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/08/90 PAGE 3 OF 4

ANALYSIS METHOD R	: MERCURY EFERENCE: EP	A 7470			
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION	
19697-13	MW1 2 2 5 9 0	ND	mg / L	0.001	

ND = NONE DETECTED

QA/QC Summary:	
RPD, %	 2
RECOVERY, %	113



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19697 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/90 DATE ANALYZED: 03/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/08/90 PAGE 2 OF 4

	====		========	========	 ========	 =======
ANALYSIS: MERCURY						
<b>METHOD REFERENCE:</b>	EPA	7471				

LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION LIMIT
19697-	MWB1322490/	36	mg / Kg	10
1,2,3	MWB12.522490/ MWB24.522490			
19697 -	MWB 3 5 2 2 4 9 0 /	27	mg /Kg	10
4,5,6	MWB35.522490/ MWB3622490			•
19697 -	MAVB 46.522490/	24	mg /Kg	10
7,8,9	MAVB4722490/ MAVB47.522490			
19697 -	MWB 5 8 2 2 4 9 0 /	2.7	mg /Kg	1.0
10,11,12	2 MWB58.522490/ MWB5922490			

QA/QC Summary:	
RPD, %	
RECOVERY, %	106
***************************************	



LABORATORY NUMBER: 19697 CLIENT: JONAS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT #: JA-UCRFS-101 LOCATION: UCRFS

DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/90 DATE ANALYZED: 03/02/90 DATE REPORTED: 03/08/90 PAGE 4 OF 4

anal Meth	YSIS: IOD RI	TOTAL DIS Eference: E	SOLVED SOLIDS PA 160.1				
====	=====						
LAB	ID	SAMPLE ID	RESULT	UNITS	DETECTION	LIMIT	

19697-13 MW122590 1,300 mg/L

25

QA/QC:		
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		 
RPD, %		4
	===============	 

				L U 232 Berl (41!	I <b>ľ</b> 3 F kele 5)	UIS Illh 9, C 486	Str Calll	j eet lorn 00	1 () la 1	<b>)    </b> 047	1 <b>p</b> 10	K	in	5	, 1	.t	d			<b>-</b>			S	an	npl	er	5	R		Juna 17017	-			Í		ι, YS	SIS (	IEU	UES					
( ƙ	3)	Jo Jo	ob ob liei	D Nu nt	eso mb Co	orip er_ onta		n	-l Z- R	- L M	C. K.	2 f 12 110	د F ر	s	-	]. M	ن مو						F	?e	cor	de	r	k	2	Jonas					13	(*)		ne-Xvlene (s)		7// 1	17 77			
		Li	1	Mal S	rix		ncainers	64 F	eM Pres P	tho	ed v	rer	Sa	am	ple	N	lur	nb	er			Sa	mp	olir	ng	Da	ate			SAMPLE NOTES	501 / B010	602/8020	574/8740	525/8270 675/8270	Le 22 Meta	20 Mecals	Merbod-	zene-Tolue	and Greas	508/3080	101 101			
	,	Wac	Xsoi	Was	110		ပ္ခံ	H 23	ŶŶ		NoN	ot o	r <sup>1</sup> (	5	3 2	2-	z	70	710	50	Yr 7C		Vb z	   2	<b>Jy</b>	Q	Tin 9	10	0	Composite and anylyz For Mercury.	150A	EPA	104	E 2 A		EP.A	Pict.	Ben	110	No.		╞		
CI	2		X		_			-		X			3.	<u>,</u>	5		+		7					k	Ł					Retain original Sompl	-		-	1	+	1-	F			-	1	-		
	3	-	X		-		1	1		X	-		14	520	.9	+		-	1	-			ŕ	Ŧ		0	2	3	0		-	-			1	F	F	-		F	4	-	-	
01	4		XXX							XXXX			ny 22 74	2222	5 5:1 10	2 2 2	22	9 4 4	7090		7071		0202	2 2	24 24 24	0000	99	3 3 3	555	Mercury. Retain											A			
		Γ	_at	ora	alo	ry	No	les	. :											_								1	Γ	Chain	ol	C	us	loc	 Jy	Re	300	rd						
																						· .	•						T	Relinquished by: (signature ) $\frac{1}{2}$	Date	9/14r z : 3	2	÷	R	lece	əlvə	d by	y (s	lgna	iture	)		
																													F	Relinquished by: (signature ) I	Date	<u>)/Hr</u>	2		R	lece	evie	d bj	y (s	Igna	iture	)		
											e sa Sa																		F	Tellnquished by: (signature )	Date	o/Hr			F	1001	elve	d bj	y (3	Igna	atur e	)		
																													F	Relinquished by: (signature )	Date	ə/l lr			F	1001	əlvə	d b	y (:	Igna	sture	)		
																													ſ	Dispatched by: (signature ) C	)ale	/Hr			4	lece	evie	a t	r La	īб bj	r 1: Z-	lgna Z(j.	alure - 9	) D
																																		2	/	$\int \mathcal{I}$	7	U	Ţ		١	5:	2	>

	Jo	L b b	D	97 850 mb	crip		n_	( A	V	$\leq 1$	21	E. R	S F	5		 	10		1								•		-										ene (s)		ides f			
	C	ier	nt	Сс	ont	ac	<u> </u>	R	0	יור	e	и	۲ ر	-		B	M	a	6		-	-		R	<b>e</b> C(	orc	der		R		Jonais					-	3 -		ne-Xv1		25550	14 71		
		N 	Aal	rix			STOL 1	M Pre	eth 230	bo tver	a l		Sa	mţ	ole	N	lur	nb	er			S	an	ıp	linç	; [ -	Da	10			SAMPLE NOTES		1 601/8010	A 602/8020	524/8240	625/8270	Le 22 Mera	Thereses			508/8080	ICUTI	-	
_	Wa	Sol	Was	5	_				Ĺ		C		3		-	-					Y	′r	Μ	0	D	y	T	'in	18				197	ΕG	EPA	E S		EP.	i a			Įź		
1	-	$\stackrel{\times}{\searrow}$	-	-			+	╉	╉	+	╢	4	30	16	7 -			4	7	0	7	0	0 6	27	2 7	4		2	4	$\frac{0}{0}$	Marcury Retuin				-			+	╋			-₩		$\left  \right $
Č Ŷ		$\hat{\mathbf{X}}$	-						ľ	7	1-	Ċ	20	圹	3		20	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2	6	0	Z	2	4	0	9	Ý	0	Sumple for Future						1	1	1	士	1	$\mathbf{V}$		
1.	-			_	-	-	-	-	+	+	╀	-	2		<del>,</del>  -,	,	7		a		a	-		2	-	ū	-	a		-	<u></u>					-	_	-				+		$\vdash$
,	-	$\Diamond$	-	-	-	┥	┉	+	-		╉	4 - 1 i	10	5	5	-		Z-]		_	1	F			1	<u>_</u> ]	_	4	2	0	Same as above		-	$\left  - \right $	-	+	+	╉	+-	╋	╋	+	┠─┦	$\vdash$
12		X				1		1		Ì.	1		N 67	5	1	1	1	1	2	_	_		Ĺ					1	2	_							1	1	1	1	1	T		
		-				-	-	-	-		-	-			+	+	_		_		_	_		_	-	-				_						-+	-+	-+-		+-	╇	╋		$\vdash$
		-							+				-	-	╉	╉	-						-										_			-	-	+	+		+-	╋		$\vdash$
	Ľ										Ι	T	1	I										,								]					1	1	1	1	1	1		
	Γ	ab	or	alo	rv	No	ole	s :																					1	Γ	Chair	1 (	of	Cu	slo	dy	R	.ec	ord	 }				
					,			-																						P	tellnquished, by: (signature )	Da	ale/	Hr	Γ		Nec	celv	ed t	by	(slg	nati	лө	)
																							•							E	Roman toma 2/26/	19	03	1:2										
																														R	lelinquished by: (signature )	Da	itø/1	Hr		1	Rec	:elv	ad f	э <b>у</b>	(slg	nati	#Ø	ł
																														F	lelinquished by: (signature )	Da	nte/l	Hr			Rec	:elv	ed t	b <b>y</b>	(sig	nati	<b>N 0</b> )	)
																														F	telinquished by: (signature )	Da	ale/	Hr			Re	celv	ed l	by 1	(slĘ	inati	<u>лө</u>	)
																														- C	Dispatched by: (signature ) i	Dal	le/}	łr	-		101	:ely	ed (		Lab	by	(sig	jna Q'

	5				¥	_	C	, ·						10						L	-					vu	<b>.</b>		u	5. 01		1			1111	LIS	JISI	IEU	UES	IEI	<u>)</u>			_
		0 2 E (	232: 30rk 415	3 F celo 5)	-     =     9y, 48	3 1 S Ca 6-0	U Strø Strø Strø Strø Strø	et proli 0	a g	947	10	JK		13	<b>,</b>								S	an	ıpl	ers	; <u> </u>	2,		Jonan									- 1 500	s.ED:				
	Jo	b	De	3S	cri	pli	on			CR.	F	5	2																									<u>.</u>		2				
	Jol	5 I	Nu	mt	be	-	3	A -	.υ	ici	۲ F	=5		1	υ	1											-									_		6		U T				
	Cli	en	t	Co	on	lac	-1	R	5	ne	4	م		3	01	7a	D						F	lec	or	dei		R.		Jonao					57	<b>*</b>		ne-Xv	U	2555				
	. · -T	N	lat	rix			ners	Р	Mel res	bod erv	ed T		S	am	nple	e I	Vui	nt	ber			Sa	mp	olin	g	Da	lø				01087	7 8020	1/8240	/8270	22 Meta	Mecals	-por	e-Tolue	d Greas	3/9080		717		
	1 e .		87.8				oncai	504	ក្ត	Ð	Se	ner										-1-		1					-	SAMPLE NUTES	U U U		526	625	0	0.0 A	л. Ке	0250	an	A 508		VIEL		
	Ma	ŝ	Ra	5			¥ ¥	H 2	Ŧ	ы	8	ы И	_				-	- 7		_	۱Y ۲	1	Vb		)y		in	nø	_		100	<u>i</u> li		L CL	i	<u>  6</u>	1	Вe	ö	6	М			
15	Å.	-	_	_	_				-	$\boxtimes$		_	$\underline{\mathcal{M}}$	W	1	2	2	5	9	2	22	2 0	2	- 2	5	C	2	0	5		-					+-	+-	+		_	X	4		
			-+	_											-	-	-	-		-				- -		$\left  - \right $	-				$\left\{ \right\}$					╋	╋	+	$\left  \cdot \right $	$\vdash$		+		
		+		-	-										$\neg$	-		-	-		-	+		╋	1-	$\left  - \right $						- -	- -		╋	+-	+-					-	╋	
		1		-	-			-									-		1		+		$\uparrow$		$\uparrow$						11		1		$\top$	+	1					+	+-	$\square$
																															1[					Ι							Ι	
																_	_			_	_				1_				_															
		-	_	_			_									_	_	_			_	_		1	1						]  -	_				+-	+-			_	_	_	+-	
	-	-	_																	-	-	-+			+-	$\left  - \right $					┨┠			4-			+-	╋		$\left  - \right $		_	+-	
		-		-				-	-	-		-						-		-	-	-+	╉	╋	╋	$\left  - \right $		+-			┥┝		+-	+-	╉	╋	╋	╋				+		$\left  - \right $
									·			<b>.</b>	1						·									7	Γ	Chair			2119			Re			-					
		aU			<i>יי</i> א	14	01	03	•																				h	Relinguished by: (signature )	Date	e/14			- <u>-</u> -	100	olvo	$\frac{1}{d h}$	v 11	elan	atur	<u>a</u> )	-	
																							•••						Ĩ	2 1 261	la	_			•			••,	,	<b>g</b>		• /		
																													<b>R</b>	lelinquished by: (signature )	Date	<u>کہ</u> 11ہو	: <u>-</u> '	<u> </u>	R	lace	əlvə	d bj	y (s	slgn	alur	8)		
																													П	lelinquished by: (signature )	Date	e/11	_		F	1901	elve	d b	y (!	sign	atur	8)		
																													F	telinquished by: (signature )	Dat	e/11	r		F	1000	əvie /	d by	y (! \	algn		• • •		
																														Dispatched by: (signature ) (	Date	/Hr			P	100	elve	The	* 4	K	py (	sign	alur 7L	•) 50
	L																											1	L	<u> </u>	·			L	7		1	U	K	<del>4</del> 4	9—	<del>ل</del> ۔ ۱	<u>نون</u> نوبز	$\overline{v}$